

Nonlinear FPEs

(1) Exist and Unique:

(i) Consider NLFPE: $\partial_t \mu_t = \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij}(t, \mu_t, x) \mu_t) + \partial_i (b_i(t, \mu_t, x) \mu_t)$
 where $a_{ij}, b_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_b^+$. $a(t, \mu, x)$ is sym. nonnegative definite.

Remark: $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t, x) = a_{ij}(t, \mu, x) \partial_{ij}^2 \varphi(x) + b_i(t, \mu, x) \partial_i \varphi(x)$

Remark: a, b can also depend on the whole path measure $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$, rather than μ_t .

eg. (Kolmogorovskii case)

$$a_{ij}(t, \mu, x) = \tilde{a}_{ij}\left(t, \frac{\mu}{\mu(x)}(x), x\right) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$b_i(t, \mu, x) = \tilde{b}_i\left(t, \frac{\mu}{\mu(x)}(x), x\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

$\frac{\mu}{\mu(x)}$ is version of density of μ w.r.t $\mu(x)$

(Note by Lebesgue iff Thm: $\mu \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^1 \Rightarrow$

$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mu(B_r(x))^{-1} \mu(B_r(x))$ exists $\mu(x)$ -a.s.

We set $\frac{\mu}{\mu(x)} = 0$ on limit-maximize pt.)

Remark: $J: (\mu, \gamma) \mapsto \frac{\mu}{\gamma}$ is $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_0, \infty}^+ \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$

measurable. $\mathcal{M}_{b, \infty}^+ = \{ \mu \ll \lambda \times \mu \in \mu_0^+ \}$.

In Nemyskii case. NLFPE write in

consistency form: $\partial_t u(t, x) = \operatorname{div}(\tilde{a}_{ij}(t, u(t, x), x) u(t, x)) - \operatorname{div}(\tilde{b}(t, u(t, x), x) u(t, x))$

Where $\mu_t(x) \lambda_t = u(t, x) \lambda \times \lambda_t$. e.g., PME, p-Laplacian

Def: Borel curve $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{M}_{b, \infty}^+$ solve the NLFPE

with datum $\nu \in \mu_0^+$ if $a_{ij}, b_i \in L_{loc}^1([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ & $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty, \exists T_\varphi < \infty, \mu_t(T_\varphi) = 1$.

$$\int \varphi d\mu_t = \int \varphi d\nu + \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^t \int L_{a, b, \mu_s} \varphi d\mu_s ds, \forall t \in T_\varphi$$

Remark: For $a_{ij}, b_i \in L_{loc}^1([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d), \Rightarrow$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \square = \int_0^t \int L_{a, b, \mu_s} \varphi d\mu_s ds.$$

Linearized equations:

Fix Borel curve $(\mu_t) \subset \mu_0^+$. Consider linear

FPE: $\partial_t v_t = \operatorname{div}^2(a_{ij}(\mu_t) v_t) - \operatorname{div}(b_i(\mu_t) v_t)$.

Where $a_{ij}(\mu_t)(t, x) = a_{ij}(t, \mu_t, x)$. $b_i(\square) = \square$.

Remark: We called the linear FPE associated with NLFPE by μ -LFPE.

Note any NLFPE solution also solves μ -LFPE.

Lemma. If the solution is weakly conti. Then:

$T_\varphi^c = 0$ for $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty$. If in addition $a_{ij}, b_i \in L^1$ then the NLFPE hold for $\forall \varphi \in C_{ub}^\infty$. μ_t has const mass as ν

Pf: As in linear case.

Lemma. If $(\mu_t) \subset M$ solve NLFPE with ν

$\in M_b^+$ so. $\sup \mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$. Then $\exists$ unique weakly conti. μ_t -version modif. $\tilde{\mu}_t$

Pf: μ_t solves $(\mu$ -LFPE).

We can modify the solution of the linear FPE as before.

① Pf: i) $T > 0$, $M_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is n.v.s. of signed measure with finite TV. and $\|\mu\| :=$

$\sup_{Lip'} \int f d\mu. \quad Lip' = \{f \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}') \mid \text{The } Lip \text{ const.} \leq 1\}.$

Remark: Topo. of $M_b^+(\mathbb{C}, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is weak convergence of measure.

ii) For $V: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'. \quad T_0 \equiv T. \quad g \in C^+(\mathbb{C}, T).$

$$M_{T, g}(V) := \{(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in M_b^+ \mid \int V d\mu_t \leq$$

iii) $(\mu^n) := (\mu_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]} \in M_{T, g}(V)$ is g -conv. $\forall t \in [0, T].$

V -convergence if $\int f d\mu_t^n \rightarrow \int f d\mu_t$ for

$\forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad \exists c. \quad V(x)/f(x) \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (|x| \rightarrow \infty)$

Assumptions:

i) $\exists V \in C^2. \quad \exists c. \quad V > 0. \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty. \quad \exists c. \quad \exists \Lambda_1.$

$\Lambda_2: C^+(\mathbb{C}, T) \rightarrow C^+(\mathbb{C}, T). \quad \text{for } \forall T_0 \leq T. \quad g$

$\in C^+(\mathbb{C}, T). \quad \text{We have } (a.b.v \quad V(t, x) \leq \Lambda_1(g)(t)$

$+ \Lambda_2(g)(t) V(x) \quad \text{on } [0, T] \times M_{T, g}(V) \times \mathbb{R}^d.$

Remark: Next, we fix this $V. \quad M_{T, g}(V) := M_{T, g}.$

iv) a_{ij}, b_i satisfy sufficient regular condition.

$\hookrightarrow x$ -local equivalent. (only hold, V -convergence..)

iii) $\forall v \in M_{T,q}$, $a(t, x, v_t)$ is symmetric and nonnegative definite.

Thm. Under assumpt. i) - iii). For $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ s.t. $V \in L^1([0, T], \mu_0)$. Then: $\exists T_0 \leq T$ s.t. NLFP E has a weakly anti. p.m solution μ on $[0, T_0]$ with datum μ_0 s.t. $\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \int V d\mu_t < \infty$.
Besides, if $\Lambda_1 \equiv \gamma_1$, $\Lambda_2 \equiv \gamma_2$, $\gamma_i \in C^1([0, T])$.
 $\Rightarrow T_0 = T$

Next, we want to apply Schauder fixed point Thm. to find the solution.

Step: i) a is sufficient smooth, nondegenerate (i.e. $\det(a) > 0$)

ii) a is sufficient smooth, degenerate.

iii) General case.

We only prove case i). i.e. Add cond. to assumpt iii) with: $\det(a(t, x, v_t)) > 0$, for $\forall v_t \in M_{T,q}$, $\forall t \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

And assume $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \lambda = \lambda(\epsilon, K) > 0$. s.t.

$$|a(t, v_t, x) - a(t, v_t, y)| \leq \lambda |x - y|. \quad \forall x, y \in K, t \leq T.$$

And also $\exists C_i = C_i(v)$. s.t.

$$|\sqrt{a(t, v_t, x)} \nabla V(x)| \leq C_1 + C_2 V(x). \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Remark: Under the condition with assume i) - iii).

We have existence of unique weakly
conti. p.m. solution $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(v)$ to V-LFPE
on $[0, T]$, with μ_0 for $V \in M_{T, \gamma}$.

We def $\mathcal{Q} : M_{T, \gamma} \rightarrow M_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ by
 $\mathcal{Q}(v) = \mathcal{L}(v)$. ($\mathcal{Q}$ depends on T, γ).

Def: $N_{T, \gamma} := \{\mu_t \in M_{T, \gamma} \mid \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$.

$$\left| \int \varphi d\mu_t - \int \varphi d\mu_s \right| \leq \sup_{\substack{t, s \in [0, T] \\ \mu_t, \mu_s \in M_{T, \gamma} \times \mathbb{R}^d}} |L_{a,b,v}(\varphi(t, x))| |t - s|$$

Lemma: $\forall (\mu^n) \in N_{T, \gamma}$ has weakly convergence

subseq $(\mu^{n_k}) \xrightarrow{w} \mu \in N_{T, \gamma}$. s.t. $\forall t \leq T$,

$$\mu_t^{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} \mu_t.$$

Prop: Note $\mu^n \xrightarrow{w} \mu \Leftrightarrow \mu_t^n \xrightarrow{w} \mu, \forall t$. Since
 $(\mu^{n_k}) \xrightarrow{w} \mu \Leftrightarrow \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,
 $\int \varphi(t, x) \mu_t^{n_k}(x) \rightarrow \int \varphi(t, x) \mu_t$.

Pf: Consider $(t_k) \subset [0, T]$. by diagonal
 argument. $\exists (\mu_t^{n_k})$ is tight for
 $\forall t \in (t_k)$.

Then - we prove it also holds for
 $\forall t \in [0, T]$ by ref of Prop.

$(\mu^{n_k}) \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ is from DCT. (i.e.

$\int \varphi(t, x) \mu_t^{n_k}$ is t -uniformly bdd).

Cor: $N_{T, \varphi}$ is convex. opt. in $\mathcal{M}_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Pf: convex is from $M_{T, \varphi}$ is convex.

And $\mu_b(\cdot)$ is n.v.s. So:

Seq. opt $\Leftrightarrow$ opt. We use Lem.
 above.

Lem 2: $\mu^n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ in $N_{T, \varphi} \Rightarrow \mu^n \xrightarrow{v} \mu$.

Pf: i) $(\mu_t^n) \rightarrow \mu_t \forall t \in [0, T]$ follows

from Lemma: above. (By contradiction:)

if $\exists t_0 \in (t_0, t_1)$ s.t. $\mu_{t_0}^{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} \tilde{\mu} \neq \mu_{t_0}$

$\exists (t_k) \subset (t_0, t_1)$ $\mu_{t_k}^{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} \mu_{t_0}$. (Contradiction!)

2) Let $h = f(x)/V(x)$. $\Rightarrow h \in C_0$.

So: $\exists \varphi \in C_c^\infty$ s.t. $\|h - \varphi\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

So: $|\int f \mu_{t_0}^{n_k} - \int f \mu_{t_0}| \leq$

$$|\int \varphi V \mu_{t_0}^{n_k} - \int (\varphi V) \mu_{t_0}| + 2\varepsilon \|\mu_{t_0}\| \xrightarrow{1)} 0.$$

Lemma: If $Q \in (N_{T_0, g}) \subset N_{T_1, g}$ for some $T_0 \leq T_1$ &

$g \in C^1([0, T])$. Then Q is conv. on $N_{T_1, g}$

Pf: For $(\mu^n) \rightarrow \mu$ in $N_{T_0, g}$. Set $g^n :=$

$Q \in \mu^n$. $\in N_{T_1, g}$.

By Lemma: above. $\exists (g^{n_k}) \xrightarrow{w} g \in N_{T_1, g}$.

Note: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \mu_{t_0}^{n_k} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \mu_{t_0} = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L_{\mu_{t_0}} \mu_{t_0}^{n_k}$

$\varphi \mu_{t_0}^{n_k}$ for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$.

From assumption ii). We have Ascoli. Thm

hold locally for $\mu_{ij}(t, \mu_{t_0}^{n_k}, x)$. $b_{ij}(\dots)$.

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$. (since $\text{supp}(\varphi)$ is cpt.)

with DCT. (since $|L_{a,b,m}^* \varphi| \leq \Lambda(T, g, \varphi)$)

$$f_t : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_{a,b,m} \varphi \, d\mu_s.$$

which means $Q(\mu_t) = f_t$.

By subseq convergence $\text{Then} \Rightarrow Q(\mu^n) \rightarrow Q(\mu)$

Lemma.⁴ $v \in N_{T,g}$. $f = Q(v)$. Then: $\forall t \in [0, T]$.

$$\int V \, d f_t \leq S(g)(t) + R(g)(t), \int V \, d \mu_0. \text{ where}$$

$$R(g)(t) = e^{\int_0^t \Lambda_2(g)(s) \, ds}. \quad S(g)(t) = R(g)(t) \int_0^t \Lambda_1(g)(s) \, ds.$$

Pf: $\exists \eta_m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $0 \leq \eta_m \leq 1$. $\eta_m' \leq 0$.

$$\eta_m(x) = x \text{ if } x \leq m-1. \quad \eta_m(x) \equiv m. \text{ if } x > m$$

$$\text{For } \varphi(x) = \eta_m \circ V(x) - m \in C_c^\infty$$

$$L_{a,b,v} \varphi = \eta_m'(V(x)) L_{a,b,v} V(t,x) + \eta_m''(V(x)) a(t, V(t,x)) \nabla V(x). \quad (*)$$

Since f_t solves V -LFPE. we have:

$$\int_{|x| \leq m} V \, d f_t \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi \, d f_t \stackrel{\text{FPE}}{=} 0$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \int V \, d \mu_0 + \int_0^t \int_{|V| \geq m} \eta_m'(V(x)) L_{a,b,v} V(s,x) \, d f_s(x) \, ds$$

Given $\eta_m \leq 1$. with assumpt. i). :

$$RHS \leq \int_1^t \Lambda_1(g)(s) + \Lambda_2(g)(s) \int_{1 \in m} V dg, ds$$

For $m \rightarrow \infty$. (MCT). Apply Gronwall's:

$$\int_{1 \in m} V dg_t \leq S(g)(t) + R(g)(t) \int V d\mu_0.$$

Cor. $\exists T_0 \leq T$. $g \in C^+([1, T])$. s.t. $Q \in N_{g, T_0}$

$\subset N_{g, T_1}$. Besides, if $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \equiv \text{const}$
in $C^+([1, T])$. then $T_0 = T$.

Pf. For $\forall$ fix g . $S(g)(t) \rightarrow 0$. &

$$R(g)(t) \rightarrow 1 \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

$$\text{Set } g = 2 \int V d\mu_0 + 1.$$

Choose $T_0 = T_0(g)$, s.t. $0 \in [1, T_0]$

$$S(g)(t) \leq 1. \quad R(g)(t) \leq 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \int V dg_t \leq g(t) \quad \text{on } [1, T_0].$$

for $\forall v \in N_{T_0, g}$. $g = Q(v)$.

We can set $g(t) = \sup_{[1, T]} (S(r)$

$+ R(r) \int V d\mu_0)$ if Λ_1, Λ_2 are

const. So $S(\cdot)(t)$, $R(\cdot)(t)$ are

indep't. of choice of g .

Return to the proof:

Since $\exists T, f, \sigma, Q: \mathcal{M}_{T,f} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{T,f}$ is
conti. $\mathcal{M}_{T,f}$ is convex, cgt. $\subset \mathcal{M}_b([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$

App'g Schauder's fixed pt. Thm. $\exists Q(v) = v$.

(2) Superposition principle:

① Consider McKean-Vlasov SDEs / DDSDEs:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t, L_{X_t}, \mu_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t, L_{X_t}, \mu_t) dB_t.$$

Proof: We can fix $\mu_t = \nu_t$ inside b

and σ to get a V-LSDE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t, \nu_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t, \nu_t) dB_t$$

And solution for DDSDEs also solves

μ -LSDE where $\mu = L_X$.

prop. If X is weak solution for DDSDEs

above. Then: $(\mu_t) := L_{X_t}$ is weakly

conti. p.m. solution to NLFPDE with

coeff. b and $\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sigma^T$.

Pf: By Zai's formula.

(A0) $b(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{P}_p \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(A1) $\exists K_1, K_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ non-decreasing such that for all $t \geq 0, \zeta, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\sigma(t, \zeta, x) - \sigma(t, \nu, y)|^2 \leq K_1(t)|x - y|^2 + K_2(t)W_p(\zeta, \nu)^2.$$

(A2) $2(b(t, \zeta, x) - b(t, \nu, y)) \cdot (x - y) \leq K_1(t)|x - y|^2 + K_2(t)W_p(\zeta, \nu)|x - y|.$

(A3) b is bounded on bounded sets in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{P}_p \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$|b(t, \zeta, 0)|^p \leq K_1(t)(1 + \zeta(|\cdot|^p)),$$

where $\zeta(|\cdot|^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\zeta(x).$

Theorem 3.3.4 (Thm.2.1 from [22]). Assume there is $p \geq 1$ such that (A0)-(A3) are satisfied. (If $p < 2$, additionally assume $K_2 = 0$.) Then for every initial datum $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_p$, the DDSDE has a unique weak solution $X(\mu_0)$ with $\mathcal{L}_{X_t} \in \mathcal{P}_p$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Moreover, if $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_q$ for $q \geq p$, then $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X(\mu_0)_t|^q < \infty$ can be strong.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X(\mu_0)_t|^q \right] < \infty, \quad \forall T > 0.$$

[Finally, there is $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ non-decreasing such that

$$W_p(\mathcal{L}_{X(\zeta)_t}, \mathcal{L}_{X(\nu)_t})^p \leq W_p(\zeta, \nu)^p e^{\int_0^t \psi(r) dr}, \quad \forall t > 0.]$$

② Thm. (Superposition prin.)

If $\langle \mu_t \rangle$ is weakly anti p.m. solution to NLFPÉ. i.e. $\mu, b \in L([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mu_t dt)$

Then $\exists$ weak solution X to DDSDE

s.t. $\mathcal{L}_{X_t} = \mu_t, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$

Remark: i) There's still no extra regularity assumption. It can be applied on Nemtsovskii type NLFPÉ.

ii) Integrable and can be weakened as before.

Pf: $\langle \mu_t \rangle$ also solves M -LFP $\bar{E}$.

$\exists$ weak solution to M -LSD $\bar{E}$ s.t.

$Lx_t = \mu_t \Rightarrow X_t$ also solves DDSD $\bar{E}$.

Cr. At most one weak solution to DDSD $\bar{E}$
with initial datum $\gamma \Rightarrow$ At most
one p.m. γ associated NLFP $\bar{E}$ with
datum γ . s.t. $\pi_{ij} \in L^1(\mu_{t_k})$.

Pf: Any 2 solutions of NLFP $\bar{E}$ can be
be lifted as solution of DDSD $\bar{E}$
as linear case $\Rightarrow$ 1-dim marginal
dist. equals in particular.

prop. For $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{D}$, if:

i) NLFP $\bar{E}$ has unique weakly consi. p.m.
solution μ with datum μ_0 .

ii) M -LFP $\bar{E}$ has unique weakly consi. p.m.
solution for $\forall$ datum (S, S_x) .

Then weak solution for DDSD $\bar{E}$ with
datum μ_0 is unique.

Pf: If X, Y are 2 weak solution to
DDSE with datum μ_0 .

$$\text{Let } \mu^1 := L_X, \mu^2 := L_Y.$$

We have $\mu = \mu_1 = \mu_2$ from i)

And from ii), μ -LFPE also have
unique solution. And X, Y also satisfy

$$\text{If } \mu\text{-LSDE} \Rightarrow (X_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{L}{\sim} (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}.$$

⑥ With mart. problem:

i) Uniqueness of DDSE for any $\delta_x, X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ can't
imply uniqueness for any initial datum μ_0 :

Note if X is solution to DDSE with datum
 μ_0 . The disintegration family $(\delta_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ of L_X

isn't the law of solution to DDSE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t, L_{X_0})dt + \sigma(t, X_t, L_{X_t})d\beta_t, X_0 \sim \delta_x.$$

Since $L_{\delta_x^t} \neq L_{X_t}^{\mu_0}$ unless $\mu_0 = \delta_x$.

Q_x rather solves $L_{X\mu_0}$ -LSDE with the
initial dist. = δ_x . (i.e. fix μ_0 -variable).

ii) Unlike linear case, Mart. Problem doesn't hold, i.e. well-posed solution (P^x) for DDSDE isn't Markov process:

Since linear case heavily depends on the stability of MP for disintegration family. But this fails as in i).

But if we fix $\mu_t^x = P^x \circ Z_t^{-1}$. And

assume $\mu^x - \text{LSDÉ}$ is weakly well-posed

$\Rightarrow \exists (\tilde{P}_\eta^x)_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ unique solution to the $\mu^x - \text{LSDÉ}$ with kernel δ_η . It's also family of Markov process.

And note $\tilde{P}_x^x = P^x$. i.e. (P^x) can be embedded in family of Markov process

Diagram:

1) Existence of weakly conti. p.m. s.l. to

$N \subset F \subseteq E$:

$\exists V$. Laplace + conti. of n.b. + $a \geq 0$

Proof: i) 26 course work for Neumyskii.

ii) If Δ_1, Δ_2 dominant $\equiv$ cont in $C_{\geq 0}$

$\Rightarrow$ Existence interval $= [0, T]$.