

Malliavin Derivatives.

- The Malliavin calculus is infinite-dimensional calculus on Wiener space, aiming to give a prob. proof of Hörmander's theorem, originally.

Next, we fix a N -isnormal process W .

(1) Definitions and properties:

① Set the underlying prob. space is $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Def: i) $C_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{h \in C^\infty \mid \forall \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} h \text{ has poly growth}\}$

$C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{h \in C_T^\infty \mid \forall \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} h \text{ is bdd}\}$

$C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{h \in C_b^\infty \mid \text{supp } h \text{ is cpt}\}$

ii) $\mathcal{F} := \{X = f(W_{k_1}, \dots, W_{k_n}), f \in C_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$

and def $\mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{F}_c$ similarly.

Prop: $\mathcal{F}_c \subset \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$, all dense in $L^p(\Omega)$

for $\forall p \geq 1$

iii) $X = f(W_{k_1}, \dots, W_{k_n}) \in \mathcal{F}$. The Malliavin

derivative of X is:

$$DX = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f(W_{k_1}, \dots, W_{k_n}) h_j \quad \text{n.s.}$$

RMK: $\forall h \in N$. we have:

$$\langle DX, h \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(w(h_1) + th_1, \dots, w(h_n) + th_n)) - f(w(h_1), \dots, w(h_n)))}{t}$$

e.g. $D B_t^* = D (W \circ J_{[0, t^*]}) = J_{[0, t^*]}$.

where t^* is n.s. - unique point

since $(P \circ B_t - B_t = 0) = 0$.

Lemma. (Well-Def)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } X \in J &= f(w(h_1), \dots, w(h_n)) \\ &= g(w(e_1), \dots, w(e_m)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_k\}$ is o.n.b of \mathbb{R}^m

$$\text{Then: } \sum_j d_j f(w(h_1), \dots, w(h_n)) h_j = \sum_j d_j g(w(e_1), \dots) e_j.$$

Pf: W.L.o.g. set $\text{span} \{h_k\} = \text{span} \{e_j\}$.

Otherwise, set $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

and $\tilde{g}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) = g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Note: $h_j = \sum_k \langle h_j, e_k \rangle e_k \Rightarrow \exists T$ linear.

$$\text{So, } T(w(e_1), \dots, w(e_m)) \stackrel{\text{n.s.}}{=} (w(h_1), \dots, w(h_n)).$$

$$\text{So: } f \circ T \equiv g. \quad (\text{or } |f \circ T(x_0) - g(x_0)| > 0, \quad |P \circ K(x_0)| > 0)$$

$$\text{RHS} = \sum d_j (f \circ T)(\dots) e_j = \sum d_j f(\dots) T e_j$$

$$= \sum d_j f(w(h_1), \dots) h_j.$$

Lemma. (Integration - by - part)

$$X \in \mathcal{J}, \text{ and } h \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle DX, h \rangle = \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle X W(h) \rangle.$$

Remark: It's intuitive: $\langle DX, h \rangle = \langle X, \delta h \rangle = X W(h).$

Pf: WLOG, $\|h\|=1$. $X = f(W(c_1), \dots, W(c_n))$. St.

(c_i) is o.n.b and $c_i = h$.

$$\Rightarrow \langle DX, h \rangle = \sum_i f_i(W(c_1), \dots, W(c_n)).$$

$$\text{Denote } \mathcal{L}(X) = (22) \text{ } \leftarrow -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i X_i^2.$$

$$\text{So: LHS} = \int d_i f(x) \mathcal{L}(x)$$

$$= - \int f(x) d_i \mathcal{L}(x) = \text{RHS}.$$

Cor. $X, Y \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y \langle DX, h \rangle \rangle =$

$$\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle XY W(h) - X \langle DY, h \rangle \rangle.$$

Pf: (check: $D \langle XY \rangle = X DY + Y DX$.)

② Properties:

prop. $p \geq 1$. The Malliavin derivative: $D =$

$L^p(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{H}; M)$ is closable.

Pf: $\exists \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{J}$ s.t. $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. $DX_n \xrightarrow{L^p} \delta$.

$$\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y \langle \delta, h \rangle \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y \langle DX_n, h \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y X_n W(h) - X_n \langle DY, h \rangle \rangle = 0, \forall Y \in \mathcal{J}, h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Def: Denote D is closure extension of D
on $L^p(\Omega)$, with Domain $ID^{1,p}$

RMK: i) $ID^{1,p}$ is closure of J under norm:

$$\|X\|_{1,p} = (\int |X|^p + \int \|DX\|_p^p)^{1/p}$$

ii) $ID^{1,p}$ is reflexive since it's isometric
to CLS of $L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

prop. (Chain Rule)

For $p \geq 1$, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$, $X_k \in ID^{1,p}$, and

$\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$, s.t. $|\nabla \varphi|$ is bdd. Then:

$\varphi(X) \in ID^{1,p}$ and $D\varphi(X) = \sum_j \partial_j \varphi(X) DX_j$.

Pf: Find $(X_k^{(n)}) \subset J \xrightarrow{ID^{1,p}} X_k$.

$(\varphi_n) \subset C^\infty \xrightarrow{a.e.} \varphi$.

By DCT, we have: $D\varphi_n(X^{(n)}) =$

$$\sum \partial_j \varphi_n(X^{(n)}) DX_j^{(n)} \xrightarrow{L^p} \sum \partial_j \varphi(X) DX_j$$

with $\varphi_n(X^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{L^p} \varphi(X)$.

So: $\varphi(X) \in ID^{1,p}$ from its closeness.

Next, we will prove general one for φ Lipschitz.

Lemma For $p > 1$. $X_n \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$. So $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$. and
 $\sup_n \mathbb{E} \|DX_n\|_n^p < \infty$. Then $X \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$. and
 $DX_n \rightarrow DX$. weakly. in $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$.

Pf: By reflexive: $\exists X_{n_k} \rightarrow Y \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ in $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$.

So: $X_{n_k} \rightarrow Y$ in $L^p \Rightarrow Y = X \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$.

Besides. \forall convergent subseq of DX_n
 $\rightarrow DX \Rightarrow DX_n \rightarrow DX$. in $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$.

prop. For $p > 1$. $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. So $X_j \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$. φ is
 Lipschitz conti. with const. = L . Then:

$\varphi(X) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ and $\exists Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. $|Y_i| \leq L$.

So. $D\varphi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i DX_i$ in weak sense.

Pf: Find $\varphi_n \in C^1 \rightarrow \varphi$. pointwise. $|\nabla \varphi_n| \leq L$.

By prop. above: $D\varphi_n(X) = \sum_j \partial_j \varphi_n(X) X_j$.

By Lemma: $D\varphi_n(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{1,p}} D\varphi(X)$.

By reflexive: $\exists n_k$ s.t. $\partial_j \varphi_{n_k}(X) \xrightarrow[L^p]{C^1} Y_j \in L^p$ a.s.
 $(p > 1)$

③ High order:

Next, we will define high order Malliavin basis:

Def: For $X = \sum_1^m Y_j V_j \in \mathcal{F}(V)$, $Y_j = f_j(\omega, h^1, \dots, \omega, h^{n_j})$

$\dots \omega, h^{n_j}) \in \mathcal{F}$, $f_j \in C_p^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n_j})$.

DX is an $\mathcal{H} \otimes V$ r.v. (or $\mathcal{L}_{\text{HS}}(\mathcal{H}, V)$ -valued r.v.) defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} DX &= \sum_1^m \sum_j \partial_i f_j(\omega, h^1, \dots, \omega, h^{n_j}) h_i^j \otimes V_j \\ &= \sum_j (DY_j) \otimes V_j \end{aligned}$$

Remark: i) When $V = \mathbb{R}$, it coincides with the def of Malliavin derivative before.

ii) It's independent of choice of (V_j) in representation of X .

check as before: $V_j = \sum \langle e_k, V_j \rangle e_k \dots$

Lemma: $D : L^p(\Omega; V) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{H} \otimes V)$ is closable.

for $\forall p \geq 1$.

Pf: Set (V_j) is o.n.b of V .

$$X_n = \sum Y_j^{\sim} V_j \xrightarrow{L^p} 0.$$

$$DX_n = \sum (DY_j^{\sim}) \otimes V_j \xrightarrow{L^p} \varnothing.$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_j^{\sim} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall j \quad \text{so} \quad DY_j^{\sim} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall j.$$

Then by DCT, $DX_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^p .

Def: We define domain of closure of D by $ID^{1,p}(V)$.

Def: For $X \in ID^{1,p}$, $DX \in ID^{1,p}(H)$. We define $D^2 X$ by $D(DX)$. which's $H \otimes H$ valued r.v. $(D^2 X \in (H \otimes H) - r.v.)$

Inductively $ID^{k,p} := \{ X \in ID^{k-1,p} \mid DX \in ID^{1,p}(H^{\otimes(k-1)}) \}$ and $D^k X := D(D^{k-1} X)$.

Def: $ID^{k,p}$ is Banach space with norm $\|X\|_{k,p} := \left(\int |X|^p + \sum_{j=1}^k \int \|D^j X\|_{H^{\otimes j}}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

prop. (Characterization of $ID^{1,2}$)

$J_n = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$. orthogonal projection.

For $X \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $X = \sum_{n \geq 0} J_n X$. chaos decop.

Then: $X \in ID^{1,2} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0} n \|J_n X\|^2 < \infty$.

Besides, $D J_n X = J_{n-1} DX$ and $\int \|DX\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 =$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} n \|J_n X\|^2.$$

Pf: Consider basis $(\phi_\alpha)_{|\alpha|=n}$ of \mathcal{H}_n .

$$D \phi_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\beta \\ \beta \prec \alpha}} \pi_{\beta, \alpha} \phi_\beta \quad \pi_{\beta, \alpha} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_j}$$

follows from $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$.

$$\Rightarrow D \phi_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \prec \alpha} \pi_{\beta, \alpha} \phi_\beta \quad \beta^j = \alpha_j - 1, \dots$$

$\Rightarrow D\phi_n \in \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbb{H})$. and we have:

$$\int \|\mathcal{D}\phi_n\|^2 = \int \alpha_n = |\Sigma| = n.$$

$$S_0: \mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbb{H})$$

$$\text{Besides, } \int \|\mathcal{D}\gamma\|^2 = n \int |\gamma|^2, \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{K}_n.$$

We can obtain the conclusion by it!

Cor. If $X \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$, $\mathcal{D}X = 0$ n.s. Then:

$$X \equiv \text{const. n.s.}$$

Pf: By prop. above: $J_n X = 0, \forall n \geq 1$

$$S_0: X = J_0 X, \text{ n.s.}$$

Cor. $A \in \Sigma_w$. Then $J_A \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \Leftrightarrow P(A) \in C_0(\Sigma)$.

Pf: (E) It's trivial. $J_A \equiv \text{const. n.s.}$

(\Rightarrow) Find $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, $\varphi = 1$ on $(-2, 2)$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}\varphi(J_A) = \mathcal{D}J_A$$

$$= 2J_A \cdot \mathcal{D}J_A.$$

follows from chain rule.

$$S_0: \mathcal{D}J_A = 0. \text{ Then } J_A \equiv \text{const.}$$

(2) Divergence Operator:

Next, we consider adjoint op. of \mathcal{D} :

① Def: Divergence operator is adjoint δ of D on $L^2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{H})$. with $D(\mathcal{D}) := \{u \in L^2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{H}) \mid \exists X \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{N}) \text{ s.t. } \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle DY, u \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y, X \rangle, \forall Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\}$ and set $\mathcal{D}(\delta) := X$.

Rmk: Note $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} \ni f$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{N})$.

So there's at most one X , n.s.

Lemma¹ $u \in L^2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{H})$, $u \in \mathcal{D}(\delta) \Leftrightarrow \exists c \geq 0$ s.t. $|\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle DY, u \rangle| \leq c \overline{\mathbb{E}} |Y|^2$ for $\forall Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$.

Pf: By Riesz represent on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$.

Lemma² $f(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\delta)$. So $\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{H})$.

Besides, for $u = \sum_i^{\infty} x_i h_i$, we have:

$$\delta(u) = \sum_i^{\infty} x_i (\delta(h_i)) = \langle DX_i, h_i \rangle.$$

Pf: Use integrate-by-part formula and approxi. $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ by \mathcal{J} .

Lemma³ $[\mathcal{D}_h, \delta](u) = \langle u, h \rangle$ for $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$, $h \in \mathbb{H}$.

where $\mathcal{D}_h X = \langle DX, h \rangle$ for $X \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_h u = \mathcal{D}(u \cdot h), \quad (D_h u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})).$$

Pf: WLOG. Let $u \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ and $u = Xg = f(w^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + w^m \otimes e_m)g$, $g, h \in \text{span}\{e_i\}$, $e_i \perp e_j$.

By Lemma above. $\delta u = X W e_j - \langle D X, g \rangle$.

We can check $\langle D \delta u, h \rangle - \delta \langle D u, h \rangle = \langle u, h \rangle$
directly by expand g, h into $(e_k)_i$.

prop. $D^2 \langle u, v \rangle \in D(\mathcal{H})$. Besides, for $u, v \in D^{1,2}(\Omega)$,

We have $\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle \delta u, \delta v \rangle = \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle u, v \rangle + \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle \text{tr}(D u D v) \rangle$.

Pf: Lemma⁴ $D \langle u, h \rangle = D u \langle h \rangle$.

Pf: $u = \sum_1^n x_i h_i$. (h_i is o.n.b. $\in \mathcal{H}$). easy to check.

Then use approximation.

Next, we assume $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ first.

and set (e_k) is o.n.b of Ω .

Note: $LHS = \sum \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle u, e_k \rangle \langle D \delta u, e_k \rangle$.

Then apply Lemma³ and Lemma⁴ to obtain the equation.

Finally, $\exists (u_n) \in \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{L^2} u$ and

$D u_n \xrightarrow{L^2} D u$ for $\forall u \in D^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

So: $\langle \delta u, \delta v \rangle$ is L^2 -converge since $\overline{\mathbb{E}} \|\delta u_n\|^2$

$= \overline{\mathbb{E}} \|u_n\|^2 + \overline{\mathbb{E}} \|D u_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}^2$

$\overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle \langle D Y, u \rangle \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y \delta u_n \rangle \stackrel{\exists X}{\in L^2} = \overline{\mathbb{E}} \langle Y X \rangle$

Combine with polarization we can obtain the result from $\| \delta u \|^2 = \dots$

prop. (Scalar by $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$)

For $X \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, $u \in D(\delta)$, st. $\begin{cases} Xu \in L^2(\Omega; \eta) \\ X\delta(u) - \langle DX, u \rangle \in L^2(\Omega) \end{cases}$

Then: $Xu \in D(\delta)$ and $\delta(Xu) = X\delta(u) - \langle DX, u \rangle$.

Pf. For $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{J}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{J}_b$.

$$\mathbb{E}(\langle DY, \tilde{X}u \rangle) = \mathbb{E}(Y(\tilde{X}\delta(u) - \langle D\tilde{X}, u \rangle))$$

Then by density of \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{J}_b .

Def. T on $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$ is local if $\forall X=0$ n.s. on $A \in \Sigma \Rightarrow TX=0$ n.s. on A .

Lemma: For vector space R . If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}$ i.e.

$\exists (A_n, \mathcal{F}_n) \subset \Sigma \times R$, st. $A_n \uparrow \Omega$ and

$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_n$ on A_n . Then for \forall linear

local operator T on R , we can def:

$T\mathcal{S} := T\mathcal{S}_n$ on A_n , since $T(\mathcal{S}_n - \mathcal{S}_m) = 0$

on A_m , $m \leq n$. it's well-def.

prop. i) δ is local in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\Omega)$

ii) D is local in $\mathbb{D}^{1,1}$

Rmk: We can extend δ, D to $ID_{loc}^{1,2}(M)$
and $ID_{loc}^{1,p}$, respectively.

(Note $\forall f \in ID_{loc}^{1,p}$, $\langle A_n, f|_{A_n} \rangle$ is
the sequence, where $A_n \subset \subset \Omega$.)

Pf: i) Prove: $\delta(u) \cdot I_{\|u\|=0} = 0$ n.s.

$$\Leftrightarrow \int \langle X \delta(u), I_{\|u\|=0} \rangle = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{F}_0.$$

Find $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$, s.t. $I_{(-1,1)} \leq \varphi \leq I_{(2,2)}$

Set $\varphi_n(t) = \varphi(nt)$, $\rightarrow \delta$, and also:

$$\varphi_n'(t) \rightarrow \infty \cdot \delta.$$

Consider: $\int \langle u, D \langle X \varphi_n(\|u\|^2) \rangle \rangle = \dots$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ with DCT.

ii) Wlog. Set $X \in ID^{1,1}$ and $X \in L^\infty$.

(Otherwise replace X by $\max(\epsilon, mX)$.)

Set $\psi_n(t) := \int_{-n}^t \varphi_n$, φ_n is func. above.

Note: $|\int \langle D \psi_n(X), u \rangle| = |\int \langle \varphi_n(X), D X, u \rangle|$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \int |\delta(u)| \cdot \|X\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{F}_0$$

$$\text{So: } \int \langle I_{\|u\|=0} \langle D X, u \rangle \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow I_{\|u\|=0} D X = 0 \quad \text{n.s.}$$

(3) OrNSTeIn - WhItEnbeCk SemIgrOuP:

Def: 0-u semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is defined by:

$$T(t)X = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-nt} T_n X, \quad \text{for } X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\infty, \mathbb{P}).$$

Lemma. i) $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and it's self-adjoint.

ii) $(T(t))_{t \geq 0} \subset C_0$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

prop. The generator L of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is given by

$$LX := \sum_{n \geq 0} -n J_n X, \quad \forall X \in D(L) := \{X \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid$$

$$\sum n^2 \|J_n X\|_2^2 < \infty\}.$$

Pf: Check: D -A operator $AX := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)X - X}{t}$

coincides LX . Note: $[J_n, T(t)] = 0$.

1) If $X \in D(A)$, $AX = Y$. Then:

$$J_n Y = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t) J_n X - J_n X}{t} = -n J_n X.$$

2) If $X \in D(L)$, $\int \left| \frac{T(t)X - X}{t} - LX \right|^2 \rightarrow 0$.

prop. $L = -\delta D$. i.e. $D(L) = \{X \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, DX \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)\}$.

Pf: 1) $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, DX \in \mathcal{D}(\delta), \forall Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$.

$$\int \langle Y, \delta DX \rangle = \int \langle DY, DX \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \int \langle J_n DY, J_n DX \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} n \int \langle J_n Y, J_n X \rangle = \int \langle Y, (-LX) \rangle$$

\Rightarrow Set $Y \in \mathcal{K}_m$. Then: we have,

$$\int \langle Y, J_m(\delta DX - m J_m X) \rangle = 0.$$

It also holds for $Y \in \mathcal{K}_m^\perp$. So:

$$J_m(\delta DX) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} m J_m X \Rightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0} n^2 \|J_n X\|_2^2 < \infty$$

$$2) \forall X \in D(L) \Rightarrow X \in D^{1,2}$$

$$\text{Basiss. } \int \langle DY, DX \rangle = \int \langle Y(-LX) \rangle$$

$$\text{So: } DX \in D(\mathcal{L}) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}DX = -LX.$$

Thm. (Hypercontractivity)

$$\forall p, q > 1. \exists t \geq 0. \text{ st. } \frac{p-1}{q-1} = e^{-2t}. \text{ Then:}$$

$$\|T_t X\|_{L^p} \leq \|X\|_{L^2}. \quad \forall X \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$$

Cor. (Reverse Jensen's inequality)

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{H}_n. \ p \geq 1. \quad \int X^{2p} \leq (2p-1)^{np} \left(\int X^2 \right)^p$$

Remark: An important feature is that it holds without appearance of any const. which makes it have tensor-property.

Cor. (Tensorization property)

$$T_i: L^2(\mathcal{N}_i, \mathbb{P}_i) \xrightarrow{\text{BLD}} L^p(\mathcal{N}_i, \mathbb{P}_i), \quad i=1,2.$$

$$\text{If we set } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, \quad \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{P}_2.$$

$$T = T_1 \otimes T_2. \quad \text{Then: } \|TX\|_p \leq \|X\|_2.$$

Pf: Lemma. If $p \geq q \geq 1$. Then, we have:

$$\| \|X\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N}_1)} \|X\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}_2)} \| \|X\|_{L^p} \|_{L^q}$$

Pf: First prove when $q=1$.

$$\text{Note: } \|X\|_2 = \| |X| \|_{1/2}.$$