

Preliminary

(1) Intro.:

Mean field is high symmetry form of interaction between particles which are represented by SDE.

Topics: Move from micro. to macro.

(2) Interact. Diffusion:

(X_t^i) are I_t^0 processes. Defined by

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \tilde{M}_t) dt + \sigma(X_t^i, \tilde{M}_t) dW_t^i$$

$$\tilde{M}_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_k \delta X_t^k$$

where (W^i) are i.i.d. BMs.

Imp: The system is symmetric

So $(X^i)_{i=1}^n$ are exchangeable.

\Rightarrow goal: Analyse the n -limit. X^∞

① Mean field game:

Next, we consider the controlled version:

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \tilde{m}_t, q_t^i) dt + \sigma(X_t^i, \tilde{m}_t, q_t^i) dW_t^i$$

where (q^i) are chosen control process.

Def: We call (X_t^i) by agents.

And we choose (X_t^i) to maximize:

$$J_i^n(q^1, \dots, q^n) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T f(X_t^i, \tilde{m}_t, q_t^i) dt + g(X_T^i, \tilde{m}_T) \right).$$

\Rightarrow Study the n -limit of X_t^n .

(2) Converge and Metric:

Equip metric space (X, d) with
Borel σ -algebra.

Denote: $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is set of p.m.'s on X .

① Thm. (Prokhorov's)

(μ_n) is tight in $\mathcal{P}(X)$. \iff (μ_n)
 X is polish

is precompact in $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Thm (Skorokhod's)

If (X, d) is separable. $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$.

Then: $\exists (n, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ supporting X -

valued r.v.'s $(X_n) \sim \mu_n$ and

$X \sim \mu$, s.t. $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s.

Thm. (for empirical measure)

$(X_k) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mu$, $\mathcal{F}(X, \mu)$ is separable.

Then: $\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{X_k} \rightarrow \mu$.

Pf: Check on dense countable family $(f_n) \subset C_b(X)$.

Apply SLLN on $\int_X f_k \mu_n$

② Next, we assume (X, μ) is separable.

Pf: i) $\mathcal{P}^p(X) := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid \int_X \mu(x_0, x)^p \mu < \infty \}$. x_0 is fixed. $p \geq 1$.

Remark: It's indept. of choice of x_0 .

ii) $W_p(\mu, \nu) := \left(\inf_{\substack{x \sim \mu \\ y \sim \nu}} \int \mu(x, y)^p \right)^{1/p}$

p -Wass. distance on $\mathcal{P}^p(X)$.

Remark: Since W_p involves infimum. \Rightarrow
it's easy to bound.

Thm. If (X, d) is complete and separable
Then: W_p is metric on $P^p(X)$ and
 $(P^p(X), W_p)$ is complete and separable

Remark: $W_p \leq W_q$ if $p \leq q$. So: $P^p \supset P^q$.

Thm. (Kantorovich inequality)

If (X, d) is polish. Then. for $p \geq 1$.

$$W_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\nu \mid f, g \in C_b(X), f(x) + g(y) \leq d(x, y)^p \right\}.$$

Cor. For $p=1$. we have:

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \int f d\mu - \int f d\nu \mid f \in \text{Lip}^1(X) \right\}.$$

Thm. C Characterization of W_p -converg.

Zf $(\mu_n), \mu \in \mathcal{P}^p(X), p \geq 1$. Then:

i) $W_p(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$

ii) $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu, \left[\int_X \kappa(x, x_0)^p d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_X \kappa(x, x_0)^p d\mu \right]$ or [u.i.]

iii) $\forall f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, st. $\exists x_0, c > 0$, st. $|f(x)| \leq c(1 + \kappa(x, x_0)^p), \forall x \in X$.

$\Rightarrow \int_X f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_X f d\mu$.

We have i), ii), iii) equi.

Remark: Zf replace κ by $\bar{\kappa} = 1 \wedge \kappa$.

$\Rightarrow \bar{W}_p$ is b.m. metric \Rightarrow ii) \checkmark .

So: $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu \Leftrightarrow \bar{W}_p(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$.

Cor. $(X_k) \xrightarrow{i.i.d} \mu, \in \mathcal{P}^p(X), X$ -valued r.v.'s.

For $\mu_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_k} / n, \forall k$ have:

i) $W_p(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

ii) $\mathbb{E}(W_p(\mu_n, \mu)) \rightarrow 0$.

Pf: Lemma. (Poussin)

For $X \in L^1$, \mathbb{R}^+ -valued. Then:

$\exists \psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, \uparrow , convex, st.

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t)/t = \infty$, and $\int \psi(X) < \infty$.

Cor. $(z_i) \in L^1$ i.i.d., \mathbb{R}^+ -valued

$\Rightarrow S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n z_k$ is u.i.

Pf: W.l.o.g. set $z_i \geq 0$.

By Lemma:

$$\sup_n \int \psi(S_n) \leq \int \psi(X), \quad \text{convex}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \lambda(x, x_0)^p \mu_n &= \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(x_k, x_0)^p \\ &\stackrel{\text{SLLN}}{=} \int \lambda(x, x_0)^p. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{With } \mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu, \Rightarrow W_p(\mu_n, \mu) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

ii) By L_p -inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} W_p^p(\mu_n, \mu) &\leq 2^{p-1} (W_p^p(\mu_n, \delta_{x_0}) + W_p^p(\delta_{x_0}, \mu)) \\ &= \frac{2^{p-1}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(x_k, x_0)^p + 0 \stackrel{\text{lem.}}{\Rightarrow} \text{u.i.} \end{aligned}$$

(3) Stochastic Control:

$$\text{Def: } dX_s^{t,x,T} = b(X_s^{t,x,T}, \alpha_s) ds + \sigma(X_s^{t,x,T}, \alpha_s) dW_s$$

where b, σ satisfy E & U cond's.

$$\text{Define: i) } A := \{ \alpha(\cdot) \text{ progressive} \mid \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |b(\cdot, \alpha(\cdot)) + \sigma(\cdot, \alpha(\cdot))|^2 dt < \infty \}$$

$$\text{ii) } J(t,x) := \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T f(X_s^{t,x}, \alpha_s) + g(X_T^{t,x}) \right]$$

$$\text{iii) } V(t,x) = \sup_{\alpha \in A} J(t,x)$$

Thm. (Dynamic Programming Principle)

For $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have:

$$V(t,x) = \sup_{\alpha \in A} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^s f(X_r^{t,x}, \alpha_r) + V(s, X_s^{t,x}) \right]$$

Pf: i) By Markov prop.:

$$J(t,x,\alpha) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^s f(\dots) + V(\dots) \right]$$

2') $\forall \varepsilon > 0. W \in \mathcal{N}. \exists \tau^{\varepsilon, W} \in \mathcal{A}.$

st. $V(s, X_r^{\varepsilon, W}) - \varepsilon \leq J(s, X_r^{\varepsilon, W}, \tau^{\varepsilon, W})$

$$\text{Set } \tilde{q}_r^{\varepsilon, W} = \begin{cases} \tau(s, W), & r \leq s \\ \tau_r^{\varepsilon, W}(W), & r > s \end{cases}$$

And \tilde{q}^{ε} is modification of \tilde{q}^{ε} .

$$\Rightarrow V(t, X) \geq J(t, X, \tilde{q}^{\varepsilon}).$$

$$\stackrel{\text{m.p.}}{\geq} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_t^s f(r, X_r^{\varepsilon, W}) dr + V(s, X_s^{\varepsilon, W}) \right) - \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

Def: $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, regular enough, set generator

$$L^{\psi}(x) = b(x, \omega) \cdot \nabla_x \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^T(x, \omega) \nabla_x^2 \psi(x))$$

Thm, (Feynman-Kac)

consider $\tilde{X}_r^{\varepsilon, x} = b(\tilde{X}_r^{\varepsilon, x}) dr + \sigma(\tilde{X}_r^{\varepsilon, x}) dW_r$

If $V(t, x) \in C^{1,2}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^k)$, satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V(t, x) + L V(t, x) + f(t, x) = 0 \\ V(T, x) = g(x) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then: } V(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_t^T f(r, \tilde{X}_r^{\varepsilon, x}) dr + g(\tilde{X}_T^{\varepsilon, x}) \right)$$

Pf: Apply \hat{V} 's formula on
 $V(t, X_T^{t,x})$, and use MP.

Thm. (Verification)

If $V(t, x) \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, satisfies

$V(T, x) = g(x)$ and HJB equation:

$\partial_t V(t, x) + \sup_{a \in A} \{L^a V(t, x) + f(x, a)\} = 0$. Then:

For $\hat{\gamma} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow A$, measurable, st.

$\hat{\gamma}(t, x) \in \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in A} \{L^a V + f(x, a)\}$ and $(X_t, \hat{\gamma})$

has solution. $\Rightarrow V(t, x) = V(t, x)$

and $\hat{\gamma}(t, x)$ is optimal control.

Pf: $g(X_T^{t,x}) = V(T, X_T^{t,x})$

$$\hat{V} = V(t, X_t^{t,x}) + \int_t^T (\dots)$$

Take expectation and use HJB:

$$\mathbb{E} \{ g(X_T^{t,x}) \} \leq V(t, x) - \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T f(X_r, \alpha_r) \right]$$

for $\forall \alpha \in A$

Def: Hamilton $H(x, y, z) = p^T x + q^T x + \int p^T \dot{x} \rightarrow K V(x)$

defined by $H(x, y, z) = \sup_{u \in A} \{ b(x, u) \cdot y + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma \sigma^T(x, u) \cdot z) + f(x, u) \}$.

Prop: i) HJB equation can be written

First solve $\hat{a} \leftarrow \text{in: } \lambda + V(t, x) + H(x, \nabla V(t, x), \nabla^2 V) = 0$

$\in \arg \sup \{ \dots \}$ and which is parabolic PDE

obtain $H(x, y, z)$ ii) If σ isn't controlled. Then

it's semilinear PDE

iii) Note H can be $+\infty$. The

verif. Thm can be applied if

We can find $V(t, x)$ avoids the bad point at $H = \infty$.

Ex. (Infinite horizon problems)

Consider $\sup_a \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta t} f(x_t^x, u_t) dt$

Apply Zô on $e^{-\beta t} W(x_t^x)$. We know

the HJB equation should be:

$$-\beta W(x) + \sup_a \{ \dots + f(x, u) \} = 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta T} \int_0^T W(x_T^x) = 0$$