

Static MFG.

- Static games means they has no time component.

(1) Limit Thus:

Def: Action space A is cpt metric

i) Strategy profile is vector $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$.

ii) Objective func. of player i in n -player game is $J_i^n : A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Remark: Player i 's goal is to choose a_i to maximize J_i^n .

iii) Nash equilibrium for n -player is $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, st.

$$J_i^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq J_i^n(a_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_i, \dots, a_n) \quad \forall \tilde{a}_i \in A.$$

if for given $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we have:

$$J_i^{\sim}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq J_i^{\sim}(a_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) - \varepsilon,$$

then we call it ε -Nash eqn.

rmk: Nash eqn means each player i is choosing a_i opt. given the other players.

iv) Payoff function $F: A \times P(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'$.

$$\text{we } J_i^{\sim}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} f(a_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_j \delta_{a_j})$$

rmk: The structure is symmetric
— i^{th} is only a label. So the name of this game is called anonymous.

Next, we assume $F: A \times P(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'$

is joint conti. w.r.t. (A, W_1)

① Thm. If for each n , given $\epsilon_n \geq 0$.

and ϵ_n -mesh eqvi. (a_i^n, \dots, a_n^n) .

st. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$. Denote $\mu^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{a_i^n} / n$.

Then: i) (μ_n) is tight in $\mathcal{P}(A)$

ii) For \forall weak limit μ . $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(A)$

$$F(a, \mu) = \sup_{b \in A} F(b, \mu) = 1.$$

Pf: Note A is cpt metric.

$\Rightarrow (\mu_n)$ is pre-cpt \Rightarrow tight.

$$\text{Set } \mu_n^i(b) := \frac{1}{n} \left(\delta_b + \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{a_k} \right)$$

Note we have: $\forall i, \forall b \in A$.

$$F(a_i^n, \mu_n) \geq F(b, \mu_n^i(b)) - \epsilon_n.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \stackrel{\text{Average}}{\Rightarrow} \int_A F(a, \mu_n) \mu_n(da) &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F(b, \mu_n^i(b)) \\ &\quad \downarrow n \rightarrow \infty \\ &\quad - \epsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

$\int_A F(a, \mu) \mu(da)$. by joint cont;

combine with the following:

$$W_i \subset M_n, M_i^i(b) \quad \bar{\pi} = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta(a_k, a_k) + \delta(a_i, b) \quad \text{A.C.P.}^+$$

$$L(a_i, b) / n \leq c/n$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{b \in A} \max_i |F(b, M_i^i(b)) - F(b, M_n)| = 0 \quad \text{Lip.}$$

S: For $n_k \rightarrow \infty$, we have:

$$\int_A F(a, M') dM(a) \geq F(b, M), \quad \forall b \in A.$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \int_A F(a, M') dM(a) \geq \sup_b F(b, M)$$

Proof: Existence of $(\epsilon -)$ Nash equi.

may not hold in pure strategy

But it's possible when working

with mixed strategy.

Def: $M \in P(A)$ is called MFE (mean

field equilibrium) if $M \in P(A) | F(a, M) = \sup_b F(b, M) = 1$.

Proof: The TMM shows the limit points of Nash equi. must be MFE.

② Thm. (Uniqueness)

If F satisfies the monotonicity condition

$$\int_A (F(a, m_1) - F(a, m_2)) (m_1 - m_2) (da) < 0.$$

for $\forall m_1 \neq m_2 \in P(A)$. Then: There's

at most one MFE.

RMK: It's not enough that:

$a \mapsto F(a, m)$ has unique max

point for each m . Since:

$\{ \delta_{\tilde{a}(m)} \}_{m \in P(A)} \checkmark$, where $\tilde{a}(m)$

is set of maximizer for m .

Pf: If m_1, m_2 are both MFE.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \int_A F(a, m_1) m_1(da) - \int_A F(a, m_1) \\ m_2(da) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So: } \int_A (F(a, m_1) - F(a, m_2)) (m_2 - m_1)(da) \geq 0.$$

③ Thm (converse of Limit TMM)

$\forall \mu \in P(A)$ is a MFE. Then:

$\exists (\epsilon_n) \geq 0$ and seq of strategy

profiles $(a_k)_{k \geq 0} \in A$. s.t. (a_1, \dots, a_n)

ϵ_n -nash eq^{ns}. for n -player and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{a_i} = \mu. \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0.$$

Pf: $X_k \stackrel{i.i.i.d.}{\sim} \mu$. A -valued r.v.'s.

Define on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

$$\text{Set } \mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_k} \text{ and}$$

$$\mu_n^{(i)}(a) := \frac{1}{n} \left(\delta_a + \sum_{k \neq i} \delta_{X_k} \right).$$

Note $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ a.s. Next.

$$\text{prove: } \epsilon_n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_{i \in N} \left(\sup_{a \in A} F(a, \mu_n^{(i)}) - F(x_i, \mu_n) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\text{Set } \tilde{\epsilon}_n := \max_{i \in N} \left(\sup_{a \in A} F(a, \mu_n) - F(x_i, \mu_n) \right)$$

Note by uniform conti. of $F(a, \cdot)$. (A cpe.)

We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Sigma_n - \tilde{\Sigma}_n| = 0$ a.s.

Again $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tilde{\Sigma}_n - \max_{i \in N} (\sup_{x \in A} F(x_i, m)) - F(x_i, m)| = 0$.

Since x_i support on M . \Rightarrow " 0 a.s.

Proof: If we require: $\forall n$. $\Sigma_n = 0$. then

the theorem won't hold.

e.g. $A = [0, 1]$. $F(a, m) = a \int_{[0, 1]} x m(dx)$

\Rightarrow MFE = δ_0, δ_1 .

The only Nash eqn. is:

(a_1, \dots, a_n) . $\forall k$. $a_k = 1$.

But $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is a $\frac{1}{n}$ -Nash

eqn. $\rightarrow (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$.

④ Thm (Existence)

There always exists a MFE.

Pf: Lemma If K is convex opt. subset
(Kakutani) of locally opt. t.v.s. and

$$\Gamma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \text{ (set-valued) - set.}$$

i) $\Gamma(x)$ is nonempty, convex, $\forall x$.

ii) Graph $\text{Gr}(\Gamma) = \{ (x, y) \in K \times K, y \in \Gamma(x) \}$ is closed.

Then: There exists a fixed point.

$$\text{i.e. } x \in \Gamma(x)$$

Set: $T: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$. Define by

$$\begin{aligned} T(\eta) &:= \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A) \mid \mu \{ a \in A \mid F(a, \mu) \\ &= \sup_{b \in A} F(b, \mu) = 1 \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we check the conditions of Lem.

i) $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is opt. convex. of $\mathcal{M}(A)$, the space of signed measures.

$$2') S = \{a \in A \mid F(a, \mu) = \sup_A F(b, \mu)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since F is conti.

$$\Rightarrow T(\mu) \neq \emptyset \text{ for } \forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A).$$

And $T(\mu)$ is convex is trivial.

$$3') \text{Gr}(T) = \bigcap_{b \in A} K_b, \text{ where we define}$$

$$K_b := \{(\mu, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}(A)^2 \mid \int F(a, \mu) \mu(da) \geq F(b, \mu), \forall b \in A\}.$$

prove K_b is closed by limit point

argument. follows from F is conti.

(2) Multiple Types of Agents:

Next, we introduce more general case

Def: Type space \mathcal{J} is polish

i) Payoff func. $F: \mathcal{J} \times A \times \mathcal{P}($

$\mathcal{J} \times A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l.$

And the objective function is:

$$J_i^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = F(t_i, a_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta(t_k, a_k))$$

ii) Let $C = \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^A$. Set-valued map.

called constraint map. Denote graph of C by $\text{Gr}(C) := \{(t, a) \in \mathcal{J} \times A, a \in C(t)\}$.

iii) Σ -Nash equi. associated with

(t_1, \dots, t_n) is $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^{\otimes n}$ s.t.

$\forall i, a_i \in C(t_i)$ and $\forall b \in C(t_i), :$

$$J_i^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq J_i^n(a_1, \dots, b, a_{i+1}, \dots) - \Sigma$$

Thm. (Berge's)

If F and C satisfy the followings:

i) F is joint conti on $\text{Gr}(C) \times \mathcal{J}(\text{Gr}(C))$.

ii) $\forall t \in \mathcal{J}, C(t) \neq \emptyset$. iii) $\text{Gr}(C)$ is closed.

iv) C is hemiconti, i.e. if $t_k \rightarrow t$ in \mathcal{J} .

and $a \in C(t), \Rightarrow \exists (k_j), a_j \in C(t_{k_j}) \rightarrow a$.

Then: $C^*(t, m) = \{a \in C(t) \mid F(a, t, m) = \sup_{b \in C(t)} F(t, b, m)\}$ is closed and

$F^*(t, m) = \sup_{b \in C(t)} F(t, b, m)$ is joint conti.

Pf: $C^*(t, m) = \bigcap_{b \in C(t)} \{F(t, a, m) \geq F(t, b, m)\}$

And conti. follows from $C(t)$ is pre-compact and joint conti. of F .

Cor. Under the assumptions above, if given $(t_1^n, \dots, t_n^n) \in J^n$, ϵ_n -Nash equi. $(a_1^n, \dots, a_n^n) \in A^n$ for the ϵ_n -responding game. st. $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{t_k^n} = \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(J)$. Then:

$\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{(t_k^n, a_k^n)}$ is tight and

\forall weak limit point $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(J \times A)$

satisfies $\mu(\{(t, a) \in J \times A \mid F(t, a) = F^*(t, m)\}) = 1$

Pf: Note A is cpt. Set $M = \overline{\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k}$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{t_k}^n(N) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$.

Since it's tight. Then let

$P = N \otimes M$ is the set to prove:

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{(t_k, a_k)}$ is tight.

The latter is argued as before

cor. Refine MFE as then above.

There's always a MFE.

Pf: By Berge's Thm, argue as before.

ex. (Congestion game)

$G = (V, \vec{E})$. finite directed graph

Set $\mathcal{J} = V \times V$. set of source-sink

-transition pair. And set A is set

of Hamilton paths of G .