

Rough Diff. Equations

(1) Composition with regular func.:

Consider PDE: $KY = f(Y) \in X$. $X \in C^r$. $I = [0, T]$

Sol. to it will be controlled $KP(Y, Y')$

w.r.t. X . Note that first we need to ensure $f(Y)$ is controlled w.r.t X

Lemma: $X \in C^r(I, V)$. $(Y, Y') \in D_x^{2r}(I, W)$. $\varphi \in C_b^2(W, \bar{W})$. Then: $(\varphi(Y), \varphi'(Y)) \in D_x^{2r}(I, \bar{W})$

Where $\varphi'(Y) = D\varphi(Y)Y'$.

Pf: Note $\varphi(Y), \varphi'(Y) \in C^r$

$$\text{And } R_{s,t}^{\varphi(Y)} = \varphi(Y)_{s,t} - D\varphi(Y_s)Y_s' X_{s,t}$$

$$= \varphi(Y)_{s,t} - D\varphi(Y_s)Y_{s,t} + D\varphi(Y_s)R_{s,t}^Y$$

By Taylor's: $R^{\varphi(Y)} \in C_2^{2r}(I, W)$.

Lemma²: Under cond above with $\exists m > 1$. so.

$|Y_0| + \|Y, Y'\|_{X, 2r} \leq m$. Then: $\exists C = C(T, r)$. st.

$$\|\varphi(Y), \varphi'(Y)\|_{X, 2r} \leq C(m+1) \|\varphi\|_C^2 (1 + \|X\|_C)^2$$

$$\leq C(|Y_0| + \|Y, Y'\|_{X, 2r})$$

For $\bar{X} \in C^T, (\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}') \in D_{\bar{X}}^{2\alpha}$, s.t. $M \geq |\bar{Y}'| + \|\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{X, 2\alpha}$. We also have:

$$\| \varphi(Y), \varphi(Y)'; \varphi(\bar{Y}), \varphi(\bar{Y})' \|_{X, \bar{X}, 2\alpha} \leq C(M, \varphi, T).$$

$$C(\|X - \bar{X}\|_q + |Y_0 - \bar{Y}_0| + |Y'_0 - \bar{Y}'_0| + \|\varphi(Y), \varphi(Y)'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{X, \bar{X}, 2\alpha})$$

and $C \uparrow$ if $M \uparrow$

Pf: Note $\varphi(Y)_{s,t} = (D\varphi(Y) - D\varphi(\bar{Y}))Y_t + D\varphi(\bar{Y})Y_{s,t}$

with expression of $R^{\varphi(Y)}$ above.

$$\Rightarrow \|\varphi(Y), \varphi(Y)'\|_{X, 2\alpha} \leq \|D^2\varphi(Y)\|_\infty \|Y'\|_\infty \|Y\|_q + \|D\varphi(Y)\|_\infty \|Y\|_q + \frac{1}{2} \|D^2\varphi\|_\infty \|Y\|_q^2 + \|D\varphi\|_\infty \|R^Y\|_{2\alpha}$$

And we replace $\|Y\|_q \leq C(1 + \|X\|_q) (|Y'_0| + T^T \|\varphi(Y), \varphi(Y)'\|_{X, \alpha})$ by Lem. before.

Similar argument on latter part.

(2) Solution to RDE:

For $I = [0, T)$, V, W Banach space. $\bar{X} \in C^T$ for $\varphi \in C[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\xi \in W$, $f \in C_B^2(W, L(V, W))$.

Def: Sol. to RDE $\varphi(Y) = f(Y) \wedge \bar{X}$ with initial datum ξ is a controlled RP $(Y, Y') \in D_X^{2\alpha}(I, W)$, s.t.

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_0^t f(Y_s) \, dX_s, \quad \forall t \in I. \text{ Where } \int f(Y) \, dX \\ = \int \langle f(Y), Df(Y, Y') \rangle \, dX.$$

Rmk: i) $f(Y)$ is a canonical choice and is well-def by Lem.

ii) If $V = \mathbb{R}^1$, $W = \mathbb{R}^d$, $X \in C^1$. Then:

$$\int f(Y_s) \, dX_s = \int f(Y_s) \, dX_s \text{ in sense of RS-integral (even } = \int f(Y_s) \, dX_s) \text{ and we're dependent on } Y' \text{ or } f(Y').$$

S. $\forall g \in C^q$, $(Y, g) \in D_x^{2q}$ since $t \mapsto \int_0^t f(Y_s) \, dX_s \in C^1$ as well.

\Rightarrow Its RDE sol. set will be empty or uncountably many.

We need to fix Y' for uniqueness

iii) For the RDE sol. (Y, Y') . We have:

$(Y, f(Y)) \in D_x^{2q}(I, W)$ since

$$\|Y_{s,t} - f(X_s)X_{s,t}\| = \left\| \int_s^t f(Y_u) \, dX_u \right\|$$

$$\leq \|f(Y_s)\| \|X_{s,t}\| + C|t-s|^{3\alpha}$$

from construction of Rongh integral.

We first prove some estimate which can be used to prove continuity of sol. (Lyon's map)

Remark: $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, J}$ is $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ only restricted on $J \subset I$.

$$\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, I} := \sup_{J \subset I, |J| \leq h} \|\cdot\|_{\alpha, J}$$

Prop. (Priori bound for RDE sol.)

For $\xi \in W$, $f \in C_b^2(W, L(V, W))$, $X \in C^{\alpha}(I, W)$
for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. If $(Y, Y') \in D_x^{\alpha}(I, W)$ is sol.

to the RDE in I with datum ξ with $Y' = f(Y)$. Then: $\exists C = C(\alpha) > 0$, st.

$$\|Y\|_{\alpha} \leq C \left\{ (\|f\|_{C_b^2} \|X\|_{\alpha}) \vee (\|f\|_{C_b^2} \|X\|_{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}$$

Pf: By scaling on X . WLOG, let $\|f\|_{C_b^2} \leq 1$.

We first estimate R^Y :

$$|R_{s,t}^Y| = \left| \int_s^t f(Y_u) \wedge X_u - f(Y_s) \wedge X_{s,t} \right|$$

$$\leq |R - Df(Y_s) Y_s \wedge X_{s,t}| + |Df(Y_s) Y_s \wedge X_{s,t}|$$

$$Y_s = f(Y_s)$$

$$\leq C \|X\|_{\alpha} \|R^{f(Y_s)}\|_{2\alpha} + \|X\|_{2\alpha} \|f(Y_s)\|_{\alpha} |t-s|^{\beta}$$

$$+ \|X\|_{2\alpha} |t-s|^{2\alpha}$$

from construction of RI.

$$\text{So: } \|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} \lesssim \|X\|_{2 \times} + C \|X\|_{\infty, h} \|f^{(Y)}\|_{2 \times, h} \\ + \|X\|_{2 \times, h} \|f^{(Y)}\|_{\infty, h} h^\tau. \quad (*)$$

Relate R^Y and $R^{f^{(Y)}}$:

$$R_{s,t}^{f^{(Y)}} = f^{(Y)}(Y_{s,t}) - Df^{(Y)}(Y_s) Y_{s,t} X_{s,t} \\ = f^{(Y)}(Y_{s,t}) - Df^{(Y)}(Y_s) Y_{s,t} + Df^{(Y)}(Y_s) R_{s,t}^Y.$$

$$\|R^{f^{(Y)}}\|_{2 \times, h} \stackrel{\text{Taylor}}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \|D^2 f\|_{\infty} \|Y\|_{\infty, h}^2 + \|Df\|_{\infty} \|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} \\ \lesssim \|Y\|_{\infty, h}^2 + \|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h}.$$

With $\|f^{(Y)}\|_{\infty, h} \lesssim \|Y\|_{\infty, h}$. Plug into (*):

$$\|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} \leq C_0 (\|X\|_{2 \times} + \|X\|_{\infty, h} \|Y\|_{\infty, h}^2 h^\tau + \|X\|_{\infty, h} \|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} h^\tau \\ + \|X\|_{2 \times, h} \|Y\|_{\infty, h} h^\tau). \quad C_0 = C(\tau, f).$$

Choose $h = h(\tau, f, \mathcal{X}) > 0$ suff. small s.t.

$$C_1 \|X\|_{\infty, h} h^\tau \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad C_1 \|X\|_{2 \times} h^\tau \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad \text{So:}$$

$$\|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} \leq C_1 \|X\|_{2 \times} + \frac{1}{2} \|Y\|_{\infty, h}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} + \frac{1}{2} \|X\|_{2 \times} \\ \cdot \|Y\|_{\infty, h}$$

$$\text{With } \|X\|_{\infty, h}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Y\|_{\infty, h} \stackrel{\text{Ar-Lo}}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \|X\|_{\infty, h} + \frac{1}{2} \|Y\|_{\infty, h}^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} \leq (2C_1 + 1) \|X\|_{2 \times} + 2 \|Y\|_{\infty, h}^2.$$

Now we plug the estimate of R^Y into:

$$\|Y\|_{\infty, h} \lesssim \|X\|_{\infty} + \|R^Y\|_{2 \times, h} h^\tau. \quad \text{So:}$$

Multiply $C_2 h^\alpha$ on both sides,

$$\text{So } \gamma_h := C_2 \|Y\|_{\alpha, h} h^\alpha. \quad \lambda_h = C_2 \|X\|_\alpha h^\alpha.$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_h \leq \lambda_h + \gamma_h^2.$$

First, we choose h_0 small enough, s.t. $\lambda_h < \frac{1}{4}$. $\forall h < h_0$
($\text{So } h$ will depend on X)

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_h \geq \gamma_+ := \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda_h} \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_h \leq \gamma_- := \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda_h}$$

Note if h small enough (depend on Y), s.t.

$$\gamma_h \leq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \gamma_h \leq \lambda_h + \gamma_h/2. \quad \text{So: } \gamma_h \leq 2\lambda_h \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0$$

\Rightarrow We're in second regime.

So we see for h small enough. (say $h < \tilde{h}_0$):

$$\gamma_h \leq \gamma_- \leq 1/6. \quad \text{for } \forall h < \tilde{h}_0$$

$$\text{With } \|Y\|_{\alpha, h} \leq 3 \|Y\|_{\alpha, \frac{h}{3}} \stackrel{2 \geq \frac{h}{3}}{\leq} 3 (\lim_{z \uparrow h} \|Y\|_{\alpha, z} \wedge \lim_{z \downarrow h} \|Y\|_{\alpha, z})$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_h \leq 3 (\lim_{z \uparrow h} \gamma_z \wedge \lim_{z \downarrow h} \gamma_z). \quad \forall h. \quad \text{So: } \gamma_h < \frac{1}{2}.$$

i.e. We will never jump out of regime: $\gamma_h \leq \gamma_-$.

$$\text{So: } \gamma_h \leq \gamma_- = \lambda_h / \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda_h} \right) \leq 2\lambda_h. \quad \forall h < \tilde{h}_0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Y\|_{\alpha, h} \leq C_1 \|X\|_\alpha. \quad \forall h < \tilde{h}_0.$$

$$\|Y\|_{\alpha, h} \leq C_2 \|X\|_\alpha + C_2 \|X\|_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_2 \|Y\|_{\alpha, h}^2 h^\alpha.$$

since $\lambda_h \in \tilde{C} \Rightarrow \tilde{\mu} \leq C_7 \|X\|_q^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. With Lem.:

Lem. For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $h > 0$, $\mu > 0$, $Z: I = [0, T] \rightarrow V$

$$\text{s.t. } \|Z\|_{\alpha, h} \leq \mu \Rightarrow \|Z\|_{\alpha, Z} \leq \mu C(V, Z) h^{-(1-\alpha)}$$

Pf. i) If $|t-s| \leq h \Rightarrow \frac{\|Z_t - Z_s\|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \leq \|Z\|_{\alpha, h} \leq \mu$.

ii) If $|t-s| > h$. Let (t_i) partition of

$$[s, t], \text{ s.t. } |t_i - t_{i-1}| = \delta \leq h.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Z_t - Z_s\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|Z_{t_i} - Z_{t_{i-1}}\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu \delta^\alpha$$

$$\leq \mu N \delta^\alpha.$$

Note $N = (t-s)/\delta$. $N \leq \frac{t-s}{h} + 1 \leq 2 \frac{t-s}{h}$

$$\Rightarrow \|Z_t - Z_s\| / |t-s|^\alpha \leq \mu \cdot 2^{1-\alpha} \cdot h^{-(1-\alpha)}$$

$$\text{So: } \|Y\|_q \in C_6 \|X\|_q \left(1 \vee 2 C_7 \|X\|_q^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)$$

Thm (local well-posedness for RDEs)

$$I = [0, T], \quad \xi \in W, \quad f \in C^3(W, L(V, W)), \quad X \in C^\alpha$$

(I, V) for some $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then:

$$\exists 0 < T_0 \leq T \text{ and unique sol. } (Y, Y') \in D_x^{2\alpha}([0, T_0])$$

W) with datum ξ on $I_0 = [0, T_0]$ with

$$Y' = f(Y). \text{ Besides if } f \in C_B^3 \Rightarrow T_0 = T$$

Prop: i) Y can either be extended to the whole I or on some max interval $(0, 2)$

$\subset I$. Besides z depends on f, f, X .

In fact, for fix $f, f, X \mapsto z \subset X$ is

l.s.c. i.e. $\liminf z \subset X_n \supseteq z \subset X, X_n \xrightarrow{e^r} X$.

And if V is finite-dim:

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau} |Y_t| = \infty$. i.e. explosion time.

(It doesn't work on infinite-dim)

ii) 3-diff can be seen as "2+1" where

"2" is for well-def and "1" is to guarantee uniqueness.

We still have existence for $f \in C^2$.

Prop: Consider more general PDE:

$\lambda Y_t = g(t, Y_t) \lambda t + f(t, Y_t) \lambda X_t$. where f :

$I \times W \rightarrow L(V, W)$, $g: I \times W \rightarrow W$.

Let $\bar{Y}_t = (Y_t, t) \in W \times I$, $\bar{X}_t = (X_t, t)$.

$\bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \int X_s \cdot \lambda s \end{pmatrix} \in (V \times I) \otimes (V \times I)$.

And $\bar{f} = \begin{pmatrix} g(t, W) & 0 \\ 0 & f(t, W) \end{pmatrix} \in L(I \times W, I \times W)$

Then: $\Lambda \bar{Y} = \bar{f}(\bar{Y}) \Lambda \bar{X}$.

So to apply the THM. We require $f, \gamma \in C^3(I \times U)$.

Pf: Assume $f \in C^3_B$ first. Let $T=1$. $\frac{1}{3} < \beta < \tau = \frac{1}{2}$.

$\forall (Y, Y') \in \mathcal{D}_x^{2p}(Z, W)$. We have

$$(Z, Z') = (f(Y), Df(Y, Y')) \in \mathcal{D}_x^{2p}(Z, (U, W))$$

For $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. We define:

$$\mathcal{M}_\gamma : (Y, Y') \mapsto (\gamma + \int_0^\cdot Z_s \Lambda X_s \cdot Z')$$

So: fixed pt of $\mathcal{M}_\gamma \Leftrightarrow$ it's sol. to RDE

with datum γ . Since the regularity of

(Y, Y') is from $X \in C^\tau$ and

$$\|Y_{s,t}\| = \left\| \int_s^t f(Y_r) \Lambda X_r \right\| \lesssim \|X_{s,t}\| + \|Y'\|_\infty \|X_{s,t}\|$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \in C^\tau(I, W). \quad + |t-s|^{3\tau}$$

Also $R^Y \in C^{\frac{2\tau}{3}}(I, W)$ by construct of RI.

\mathcal{M}_γ can be seen on

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{2p}(I, W; \gamma) := \mathcal{D}_x^{2p}(I, W) \cap \{Y_0 = \gamma, Y'_0 = f'(\gamma)\}.$$

Which is affine space of Banach space \mathcal{D}_x^{2p}

So it's complete.

Next we want to restrict on unit ball B_γ
 center on $\{t \mapsto (f + f(\gamma) X_{0,t}, f(\gamma))\} \in D_x^{2p} \subset I, W; \gamma\}$

Proof: Note $\{t \mapsto (f - f(\gamma))\} \in D_x^{2p} \subset I, W; \gamma\}$ in
 fact since $R_{s,t} = f(\gamma) X_{s,t} \in C^2$.

$$B_\gamma = \{ |Y_0 - \gamma| + (|Y'_0 - f(\gamma)| + \| (Y_t - (f + f(\gamma) X_{0,t}), Y'_t - f(\gamma)) \|_{X, 2p} = \| (Y_t - (f + f(\gamma) X_{0,t}), Y'_t - f(\gamma)) \|_{X, 2p} \leq 1 \}$$

With $\| (f(\gamma) X_{0,t}, f(\gamma)) \|_{X, 2p} = \| f(\gamma) \|_p + \| 0 \|_{2p} = 0$.

and triangle ineqn.:

$$B_\gamma = D_x^{2p} \subset [0, \gamma], W; \gamma \cap \{ \| Y, Y' \|_{X, 2p} \leq 1 \}$$

So $\forall (Y, Y') \in B_\gamma \Rightarrow |Y'_0| + \| Y, Y' \|_{X, 2p} \leq |f|_{\infty} + 1 = M$

Remark: For $f \in C^3$ only, the bound M will then
 depend on γ .

Next, we want to prove:

a) For $\gamma > 0$ suff. small, $M_\gamma \subset B_\gamma \subset B_\gamma$

b) For $\gamma > 0$ suff. small, M_γ is contraction on B_γ

Lemma: $\| f \|_{\infty, [0, T]} \leq |f_0| + T^\alpha \| f \|_{\alpha, [0, T]}$.

a) By estimate: $(\| \Xi, \Xi' \|_{X, 2p} \leftarrow \| Y \|_{\infty})$

$$\| \Xi, \Xi' \|_{X, 2p} \leq C(M+1) \| f \|_{C^3} (|Y'_0| + \| Y, Y' \|_{X, 2p})$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t \widehat{\Sigma}_s \Lambda \mathbb{X}_s \cdot \widehat{\Sigma}_t \right\|_{X, 2\beta} \leq \|\widehat{\Sigma}\|_p$$

$$+ \|\widehat{\Sigma}'\|_{\infty} \|\mathbb{X}\|_{2\beta} + C \left(\|\mathbb{X}\|_p \|\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{B}}\|_{2\beta} + \|\mathbb{X}\|_{2\beta} \|\widehat{\Sigma}'\|_p \right) \mathcal{J}^p$$

(Where the 2nd part is from estimate β -RI.)

Note $2\alpha \leq 3\beta$. $\mathcal{J} \leq 1$. With:

$$\|\mathbb{X}\|_p + \|\mathbb{X}\|_{2\beta} \leq \mathcal{J}^{\alpha-p} \|\mathbb{X}\|_{\infty} + \mathcal{J}^{2\alpha-2\beta} \|\mathbb{X}\|_{2\alpha} \leq C \mathcal{J}^{\alpha-p}$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t \widehat{\Sigma}_s \Lambda \mathbb{X}_s \cdot \widehat{\Sigma}_t \right\|_{X, 2\beta} \leq \|\widehat{\Sigma}\|_p + C \left(|\widehat{\Sigma}_0'| + \|\widehat{\Sigma} \cdot \widehat{\Sigma}'\|_{X, 2\beta} \right) \mathcal{J}^{\alpha-p}$$

LEM.

$$\leq \|f\|_{C_0^2} \|\Upsilon\|_p + C \left\{ \|f\|_{C_0^2}^2 + \right.$$

$$\left. C(m+1) \|f\|_{C_0^2} \left(|\Upsilon_0'| + \|\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon'\|_{X, 2\beta} \right) \right\} \mathcal{J}^{\alpha-p}$$

$$\text{Since } \|\widehat{\Sigma}\|_p \leq \|f\|_{C_0^2} \|\Upsilon\|_p, \quad |\widehat{\Sigma}_0'| = |Df(\Upsilon_0) \Upsilon_0'| \leq \|f\|_{C_0^2}^2$$

$$\text{With: } |\Upsilon_{t-s}| \leq |\Upsilon'_0| |\mathbb{X}_{s,t}| + \|\mathbb{R}^{\Upsilon}\|_{2\beta} |t-s|^{2\beta}$$

LEM.

$$\leq C \left(|\Upsilon_0'| + \|\Upsilon'\|_p \right) \|\mathbb{X}\|_{\infty} |t-s|^{\alpha} + \square$$

$$\text{And } \|\mathbb{R}^{\Upsilon}\|_{2\beta} \leq \|\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon'\|_{X, 2\beta} \leq 1, \quad |\Upsilon_0'| + \|\Upsilon'\|_p \leq m.$$

$$|t-s|^{2\beta-p} \leq \mathcal{J}^p \leq \mathcal{J}^{\alpha-p} \quad \text{by } 2\beta > \alpha, \mathcal{J} \leq 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\Upsilon\|_{p, \varepsilon_0, \mathcal{J}} \leq C(f) \mathcal{J}^{\alpha-p}.$$

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon := \left\| \int_0^t \widehat{\Sigma}_s \Lambda \mathbb{X}_s \cdot \widehat{\Sigma}_t \right\|_{X, 2\beta, \varepsilon_0, \mathcal{J}} \leq C(f, \mathbb{X}, \Upsilon, \beta) \mathcal{J}^{\alpha-p}.$$

\Rightarrow We can choose \mathcal{J} small enough st.

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{J}}(\Upsilon, \Upsilon')\|_{X, 2\beta} \leq 1, \quad \forall (\Upsilon, \Upsilon') \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{J}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{J}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{J}}$$

b) We'll show: $(Y, Y'), (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}') \in B_{\mathcal{Y}}$, $\mathcal{J} \in (0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y, Y') - M_{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}')\|_{X, 2p} \\ \leq C_f \|Y - \tilde{Y}, Y' - \tilde{Y}'\|_{X, 2p} \mathcal{J}^{r-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then shrink \mathcal{J} , s.t. $C_f \mathcal{J}^{r-p} < 1 \Rightarrow$ contraction.

$$\text{Set } h_s := f(Y_s) - f(\tilde{Y}_s).$$

$$\|M_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y, Y') - M_{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}')\|_{X, 2p} = \left\| \int_0^1 h_s dX_s, h \cdot \right\|_{X, 2p}$$

$$\leq \|h\|_p + C(C|h'| + \|(h, h')\|_{X, 2p}) \mathcal{J}^{r-p}. \quad (\text{estimate a})$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{C^2_0} \|Y - \tilde{Y}\|_p + C \|(h, h')\|_{X, 2p} \mathcal{J}^{r-p}.$$

Since $h'_0 = 0$ (same datum)

Similarly replace Y by $Y - \tilde{Y}$ in estimate a)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y - \tilde{Y}\|_p &\leq \|Y' - \tilde{Y}'\|_p \|X\|_r \mathcal{J}^{r-p} + \|R^Y - R^{\tilde{Y}}\|_{2p} \mathcal{J}^{r-p} \\ &\leq C \|Y - \tilde{Y}, Y' - \tilde{Y}'\|_{X, 2p} \mathcal{J}^{r-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we want to estimate $\|(h, h')\|_{X, 2p}$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_s &= h_s \cdot (Y_s - \tilde{Y}_s), \quad h_s = \int_0^1 Df(t Y_s + (1-t) \tilde{Y}_s) dt \\ &= g(Y_s, \tilde{Y}_s). \end{aligned}$$

We see $g \in C^1_B$ from $f \in C^2_0$. $\|g\|_{C^1_0} \leq \|f\|_{C^2_0}$

So with Lem¹, Lem² in (1)

$$\Rightarrow \|(h, h')\|_{X, 2p} \leq C_f \|f\|_{C^2_0} \quad \text{on } B_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

Lem. D_x^{2p} is an algebra. i.e. if $G, H \in D_x^{2p}$.
 then: $(GH, (GH)') \in D_x^{2p}$. $(GH)' = G'H + GH'$
 $\|GH, (GH)'\|_{x,2p} \leq C(C(|G_0| + |H_0| + \|G, H\|_{x,2p}))$

$$C(|H_0| + |G_0| + \|H, G\|_{x,2p})$$

Let $G = G$ where $H = Y - \tilde{Y}$. So $H_0 = H'_0 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So: } \|GH, (GH)'\|_{x,2p} &\leq C_f \|Y - \tilde{Y}, Y' - \tilde{Y}'\|_{x,2p} (\|g\|_{\infty} + \\ &\|g\|_{C^0} (|Y'_0| + |\tilde{Y}'_0|) + \|f\|_{C^2}) \\ &\leq C_f \|Y - \tilde{Y}, Y' - \tilde{Y}'\|_{x,2p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Plug both into } \|M_g(Y, Y') - M_g(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}')\|_{x,2p} \\ \leq C_f \|Y - \tilde{Y}, Y' - \tilde{Y}'\|_{x,2p} \mathcal{J}^{\epsilon-\beta} \end{aligned}$$

So for small enough $\mathcal{J} \in (0, 1]$, \exists unique sol.
 (Y, Y') to the SDE by fix pt thm.

Since \mathcal{J} is increas of return \mathcal{J} since $f \in C^3$

Let $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{J}_i$. $\mathcal{J}_i = Y(\mathcal{J}_i)$. We can extend it
 to the whole $[0, T]$.

Remark: \mathcal{J} depend on $\|f\|_{C^3}$. If $f \in C^2$ only.

$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_i < T$ may happen.

(3) Continuity of Itô-Lyon map:

We want to investigate the Itô-Lyon map

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J} : \mathcal{L}^\alpha(I, U) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\alpha(I, U) & I = [0, T], Y(\mathbb{R}) \\ X &\mapsto Y(X) & \text{is RDE sol. above.} \end{aligned}$$

Remark: We can also consider $\hat{J}(X) := (Y, f(Y))$
 (X) , i.e. controlled RP-valued. But
note that $\hat{J}(X), \hat{J}(\bar{X})$ will stay
in different Banach space. D_x^{α} & $D_{\bar{x}}^{\alpha}$.

(We can still intro. $\|\cdot\|_{x, \bar{x}, \alpha}$ as list.)

Thm. (local Lip. of Lyon's map)

If $f \in \mathcal{L}_b^3$, $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$, $X, \bar{X} \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha(I, U)$

$(Y, f(Y)), (\bar{Y}, f(\bar{Y}))$ are unique sol.

to respective RDE with datum J, \bar{J} .

Assume $M \geq \|X\|_\alpha \vee \|\bar{X}\|_\alpha$. Then:

$$\|(Y, f(Y)); (\bar{Y}, f(\bar{Y}))\|_{x, \bar{x}, \alpha} \leq$$

$$C(|J - \bar{J}| + \mathcal{L}_\alpha(X, \bar{X})), \quad C = C(\alpha, f, M) \quad \text{if } M \uparrow$$

$$C_{J_0} : \|Y - \bar{Y}\|_\alpha \leq C(|J - \bar{J}| + \mathcal{L}_\alpha(X, \bar{X}))$$

Prop: For $\mathbb{X} = \bar{\mathbb{X}}$. We obtain global Lip. of \bar{f}
w.r.t. datum \bar{f} .

Pf: 1) Set $(\Xi, \Xi') = (f(\gamma), f(\gamma'))$
 $(z, z') = (f + \int f(\gamma) \wedge \mathbb{X}, f(\gamma))$

$$\Rightarrow \|\gamma, \gamma'; \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}'\|_{x, \bar{x}, 2\tau} = \|z, z'; \bar{z}, \bar{z}'\|_{x, \bar{x}, 2\tau}$$

$$\leq C_0 (C_1(\mathbb{X}, \bar{\mathbb{X}}) + |Df(\gamma) - Df(\bar{\gamma})| +$$

$$T^{\alpha} \|\Xi, \Xi'; \bar{\Xi}, \bar{\Xi}'\|_{x, \bar{x}, 2\tau})$$

from stab. on rough integral.

And with $|Df(\gamma) - Df(\bar{\gamma})| \leq C_f |s - \bar{s}|$

Combine stab. of $\|\Xi, \Xi'; \bar{\Xi}, \bar{\Xi}'\|_{x, \bar{x}, 2\tau}$ again

$$\Rightarrow \|\gamma, \gamma'; \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}'\|_{x, \bar{x}, 2\tau} \leq C_1 (1 + T^{\alpha} C_2).$$

$$(C_1(\mathbb{X}, \bar{\mathbb{X}}) + |s - \bar{s}| + T^{\alpha} \|\gamma, f(\gamma); \bar{\gamma}, f(\bar{\gamma})\|_{x, \bar{x}, 2\tau})$$

$$C_1 = C_f \max \{ \|\mathbb{X}\|_q, \|\bar{\mathbb{X}}\|_q, 1 + \|\Xi, \Xi'\|_{x, 2\tau}, \|\bar{\Xi}\| \}$$

$$C_2 = C_{f, \tau} \max \{ 1 + \|\gamma, \gamma'\|_{x, 2\tau}, 1 + \|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\bar{x}, 2\tau} \}.$$

Apply Lem² of (1) on $\|\Xi, \Xi'\|_{x, 2\tau}, \|\bar{\Xi}\|_{\bar{x}, 2\tau}$

We see the const. multiple will be C_1

$$= C (C_f, T, \|\gamma, \gamma'\|_{x, 2\tau}, \|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\bar{x}, 2\tau}, \|\mathbb{X}\|_q, \|\bar{\mathbb{X}}\|_q)$$

2) Next, we prove: $\|\gamma, \gamma'\|_{x, \tau} \leq C (C_f, T, \|\mathbb{X}\|_q)$

$C \subset T$ if $\|\bar{X}\|_T$ is small enough for $\|\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{X, 2T}$.

So we can choose T_0 satisfies:

$$T_0^q \subset (T, f, T_0, \|\bar{X}\|_T, \|\bar{X}\|_T) < 1/2 \Rightarrow \text{get result.}$$

Then iteratively finite many times to get it on $[0, T]$ since const. is indep of f, \bar{Y} .

And similarly obtain $\|Y - \bar{Y}\|_T$ part.

First by a priori estimate of RDE 5.1:

$$\|Y'\|_T \leq C_f \|Y\|_T \leq C(f, T, \|\bar{X}\|_T) < \infty.$$

$$|R_{s,t}^Y| \leq |f(Y'_s, X_{s,t})| + C(\|X\|_T, \|R^{f, Y}\|_{2T} + \|X\|_{2T} \|f(Y'_s)\|_T) |t-s|^{2\alpha}$$

$$\|f(Y'_s)\|_T \leq C_f \|Y'\|_T \leq C(f, T, \|\bar{X}\|_T)$$

$$\|R^{f, Y}\|_{2T} \leq C_f (\|Y\|_T^2 + \|R^Y\|_{2T}) \text{ from 1st prop of (2)}$$

$$\text{So: } \|R^Y\|_{2T} \leq C(f, T, \|\bar{X}\|_T) (1 + C(f, T, \|\bar{X}\|_T) + \|R^Y\|_{2T} T^q)$$

We can choose $T > 0$ s.t.

$$C(f, T, \|\bar{X}\|_T) T^q < 1/2 \Rightarrow \|R^Y\|_{2T} \leq C(f, T, \|\bar{X}\|_T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Y, Y'\|_{X, 2T} = \|Y'\|_T + \|R^Y\|_{2T} \leq C(f, T, \|\bar{X}\|_T)$$

(4) Connection of RDE and SDE:

Fix B \mathbb{R}^d -BM on $(\omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}, \mathbb{P})$.

Recall for $f \in C_B \subset \mathbb{R}^m \cdot L(\mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap \text{Lip. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$dX_t = f(X_t) dB_t, X_0 = \xi$ will have unique strong

sol. (same for $dX_t = f(X_t) \circ dB_t$)

And for $f \in C_B^3$ n.s.w - refined PDE:

$dY_t = f(Y_t) dB_t^Z(\omega), Y_0 = \xi$ will n.s. have unique

sol. $Y = Y(\mathcal{B}^Z(\omega)) = \tilde{S}(\mathcal{B}^Z(\omega))$.

Thm. For $f \in C_B^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^m \cdot L(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ random

Then: $X_t = \tilde{S}(\mathcal{B}^Z)$ IP-n.s. (same for Stratonovich calc.)

Remark: i) In this result: we know the sol.

to SDE $X_t(\omega) = \tilde{S} \circ \gamma(\mathcal{B}(\omega))$, i.e.

it will depend on \mathcal{B} pathwise ω .

(Note it's not clear when consider

$X_t = (\int_0^t f(X_s) dB_s)(\omega)$ formally)

ii) Since outside null set N. RDE

has unique sol. only depend on

\mathcal{B} rather of $\Rightarrow \exists$ null set N. st.

indep of ξ . \exists unique strong sol.

$X(s)$ to the SDE for $\forall s$. (it doesn't work generally since γ is uncountable)
 $\mathcal{F}_0: (\gamma, \omega, t) \mapsto X_t(\gamma, \omega)$ is a.s.-well-def flow, i.e. $X_t(\gamma, \omega) = X_t(X_s(\gamma), \omega)$, $\forall t \geq s$.

The price here is regularity: $f \in C^3_B$

iii) We can construct SDE-sol. by fixing $\omega \in \Omega$ and solving RDE. Then give randomness back to RDE-sol.

Pf: Since there's consistency between rough integral and stochastic integral. We only need to check $\gamma = \tilde{\int} (\gamma \circ B) \in \mathcal{I}_t^P$.

From $\gamma: B(\omega) \mapsto (B(\omega), \mathbb{B}^2(\omega))$ is measur. and $\tilde{\int}$ is conti.

Cor. (Wong-Zakai approxi.)

If B^\sim is linear pathwise approxi. of B . $f \in C^3_D$. Then sol. X^\sim to $dX_t^\sim = f(X_t^\sim) \circ dB_t^\sim$ converge to sol. to $dX_t = f(X_t) \circ dB_t$.

Pf: Recall $(B^\sim, \int B^\sim \circ dB^\sim) \xrightarrow{d} (B, \mathbb{B}^S)$.

With the above, X^{\sim} a.s. equals to
s.l. $\tilde{Y}(v)$ to RDE $dY_t^{\sim} = f(Y_t^{\sim}) \wedge B_t^{\sim}(W)$
So let $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow dY_t = f(Y_t) \wedge B^S(W)$
by conti. of Lyon map \tilde{J} .