

Local Stoch. Vol.

Recall most asset-price models has dynamic

$$: dX_{t,x}^{t,x} = f(s, X_s^{t,x}) V_s dW_s + g(s, X_s^{t,x}) V_s dB_s, \text{ for}$$

$0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$. Where (B, W) is 2-dim Bm. V_s is Vol. f, g are regular so it admits unique strong sol. Next, set $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B$.

Prmp: (f, g) is chosen for

- a) Correlation
- b) Leverage effect
- c) Calibration to IV surfaces.

e.g., $f(t, X) = \sigma(t, X) \epsilon$.

$g(t, X) = \sigma(t, X) (1 - \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

with leverage func. $\sigma(t, X)$ and correlated para. ϵ .

Assumpt: Vol. $(V_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$ is bad. adapted to \mathcal{F}_s^W

e.g., Nelson, Bergomi.

To solve the pricing problem, we're going to compute $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X^{0,x})]$. Where $\phi \in C([0, T])$ is the payoff func.

Remark: The direct use of MC method won't make use of the structure of the model.

i) Note V_s is totally specified by

$\mathcal{F}^W \Rightarrow \mu X_s^{t,x} | \mathcal{F}_t^W$ will be time inhomog. \mathcal{F}^B -Markov model.

ii) So to compute

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X^{t,x})) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X^{t,x}) | \mathcal{F}_t^W))$$

\Rightarrow realize V_s and compute

$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X^{t,x}) | \mathcal{F}_t^W)$, then take average.

Remark: It disentangles Vol. V_s from nonlinear local Vol. func. f and second BM B .

Next, we want to give the interpretation of " $\mu X_s^{t,x} | \mathcal{F}_t^W = \square$ ", i.e. give rigorous meaning to μW_t -part:

Fix realization $Y_t = \int_0^t V_s \mu W_s(w)$, we interpret it into RSDE:

$$dX_s^{t,x,Y} = f(s, X_s^{t,x,Y}) ds + g(s, X_s^{t,x,Y}) V_s^Y dB_s$$

where $Y = (Y, \Upsilon)$. Rough path with bracket

$$[Y]_t = \int_0^t (V_s^Y)^2 ds.$$

rk: For any deterministic RP $Y \Rightarrow$

$X^{t,x,Y}$ is time-inhomogeneous \mathcal{G}^B -Markovian.

Results: i) $\mathbb{L}(X^{t,x} | \mathcal{G}_t^W \vee \mathcal{G}_t^B) = \mathbb{L}(X^{t,x,Y} | Y = (f, g, V, \Upsilon))$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Q(X^{t,x})) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Q(X^{t,x,Y}) | W))$$

ii) $U^Z(t, x) := \mathbb{E}(Q(X_t^{t,x,Y}))$ satisfy some

RPDE if considering vanilla option.

rk: Such approach can also cover local rough volatility model, even in hyper-rough case. Since we can view $\int V dB$ as rough integral. $\ll V$ has little regular

i) Preliminary:

① Rough Path:

prop. $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$. $Y = (Y, \Upsilon) \in \mathcal{C}_g^\alpha([0, T], \mathbb{R}^k) \Rightarrow$

$\exists Y^\varepsilon \in \text{Lip}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^k)$. So.

$$Y^\varepsilon = (Y^\varepsilon, \int_0^\cdot Y_0^\varepsilon \otimes dY^\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{w} Y \text{ on } [0, T]. (\mathbb{R}^k)$$

with estimate $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\|Y^\varepsilon\|_{L^q} + \|\dot{Y}^\varepsilon\|_{L^q}) < \infty$. ($*$)

Remark: i) Y^ε is so-called geodesic approxi.

ii) We call $Y^\varepsilon \rightarrow Y$ weakly in \mathcal{L}^q if ($*$) and ($*$ *) hold.

Lem. $q \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$. $Y \in \mathcal{L}^q$ can be identified uniquely by pair $(Y^\sharp, [Y])$ where

$$Y^\sharp = (Y, \dot{Y}^\sharp) \in \mathcal{L}_f^q, \quad \dot{Y}^\sharp = \dot{Y} + \frac{1}{2} \delta [Y].$$

i.e. bijection: $\mathcal{L}^q([0, T], V) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_f^q \oplus \mathcal{L}^{2q}([0, T], \text{Sym}(V \otimes V))$

Proof: \dot{Y}^\sharp is called geometrization of Y .

And notice $\frac{1}{2} \delta [Y]$ is from Itô-Stratonovich relation

Def: $q \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$. $Y \in \mathcal{L}^q([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ is KP with

Lip. bracket (denoted by $Y \in \mathcal{L}^{q,1}$) if

$t \mapsto [Y]_t$ is Lip. Set $V_t^Y := \frac{1}{\Delta t} [Y]_t \in S^d$

And denote $Y \in \mathcal{L}^{\tau,1}$ if in addition

$V_0^Y > 0$. Denote V^Y is the unique square

root of $V^Y (V^Y)^T = V_0^Y$. $V^Y \in S_+^d$.

e.g. Consider $m_t = m_0 + \int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s$. where

$\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, σ^B -progressive. s.t. $\|\sigma\|_{\infty, [0, T]} < \infty$

Pr.p. $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \Rightarrow M^{\hat{z}_t^0} \in \mathcal{L}^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$,

and $M^{\text{strat}} \in \mathcal{L}_q^q$, n.s.

Remark: Note by def of $I_{\hat{z}_t^0} / \text{strat}$.

$$M_{s,t}^{\hat{z}_t^0} = M_{s,t}^{\text{strat}} - \frac{1}{2} \delta [M]_{s,t}$$

\Rightarrow By uniqueness: $[M^{\hat{z}_t^0}] = [M]$.

And since $[M] = \int \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top dt$

$$\text{So: } \kappa [M^{\hat{z}_t^0}]_t / \kappa t = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top > 0.$$

② Viscosity Sol. to PDEs:

The prime virtues of this theory is that it allows merely conti. func. to be sol. for 2nd-order PDE and provides existence and uniqueness theory.

Remark: Regular sol. may not exist and weak sol. may not be unique.

Next consider $F(x, u, D_u, D^2 u) = 0$, $x \in N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Def: u is viscosity subsol. to the PDE at x_0 if \forall test func. $\varphi \in C^2(N)$, s.t. $u - \varphi$

has local max. at x_0 .

$$\Rightarrow F(x_0, u(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)) \leq 0.$$

u is viscosity supersol. if $u - \varphi$ has local min. at x_0 .

$$\Rightarrow F(x_0, u(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)) \geq 0.$$

And if u is viscosity sol. if it's viscosity sub- and super-sol.

prop. i) $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is family of vis-subsol. \Rightarrow

$\sup_{\mathcal{V}} u(x) =: u(x)$ is vis-subsol.

ii) Viscosity sol. indicates for any

smooth func φ . When it's tangent with the sol. \Rightarrow some "rule of sign" for the PDE

won't be violated.

iii) It's useful when coping with some optimal problem.

prop. $u \in C^2$ is classical sol. Then u is

a viscosity sol. if

i) F doesn't depend on D^2u . Or

ii) $F(x, z, p, m) \in F(x, z, p, N)$ if $m \geq N$

Prop: Conversely - we see if viscosity sol.

u is regular, then it's classical

sol. (let $\varphi = u$)

Def: F is proper if $F(x, z, p, m) \in F(x, z, p, m)$

for $z \leq z'$.

Prop: It's for comparison principle and uniqueness.

Prop: If F, F_ε conti. st. $F_\varepsilon \rightarrow F$ and u_ε is

viscosity sol. to $F_\varepsilon(\square) = 0$ st. $u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{u.c.} u$

Then: u is the viscosity sol.

eg: The viscosity sol. u to

$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(x, Du) = 0 & \text{1st-order} \\ u(0) = g & \text{Cauchy problem} \end{cases}$$

is limit of classical sol. u^ε to

$$\begin{cases} u_t^\varepsilon + H(x, Du^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \Delta u^\varepsilon \\ u^\varepsilon(0) = g \end{cases}$$

where we add viscosity term $\varepsilon \Delta u$.

Thm. (Perron's method)

Given same p.d.g condition. If

i) Comparison prin. holds. i.e. u, V are viscosity subsol. Supersol. resp. $\Rightarrow u \leq V$.

ii) If \bar{u}, \underline{u} viscosity supersol. and subsol. resp. both exist.

Then: $W(x) = \sup \{ w(x) \mid \underline{u} \leq w \leq \bar{u}, w \text{ is vis. subsol.} \}$ is a viscosity sol.

c2) Conditional Laws:

Next assume $f, g \in C^3([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $V = V^2$.

$I_t := \int_0^t v_s dW_s$. The dynamics is given by

$$dX_s^{t,x} = f(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + g(s, X_s^{t,x}) v_s dB_s \quad (*)$$

RMK: We have strong well-posedness for it.

Replace (I_t, \mathbf{I}_t) by general deterministic

RP pair (Y, V^Y) where $\mathbf{I}_t = \int_0^t \delta I_{s,t} dI_s$

$$\text{We have: } dX_s^{t,x,Y} = f(s, X_s^{t,x,Y}) ds + g(s, X_s^{t,x,Y}) v_s^Y dB_s$$

RMK: Here $[I] = [Y] = \int V$. $V^Y = |V|$.

So next we hope sol. $X_s^{t,x,Y} \mid_{Y=Z}$ will be

the sol. to (*). To ensure its well-posed, we consider form of the RDE with joint lift.

Pf: $Y \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha([0, T], \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\forall \mathcal{F}_t^B$ -local mart. M .

joint lift $Z^Y(\omega) = (Z^X(\omega), Z^Y(\omega))$ where
 $Z^X(\omega) = (M(\omega), Y)$, $Z^Y(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_s^t \delta m \lambda m & \int_s^t \delta m \lambda Y \\ \int_s^t \delta Y \lambda m & Y \end{pmatrix}$

where $\int \delta Y \lambda m$ is Itô

integral. $\int \delta m \lambda Y = \delta m Y - \int \delta Y \lambda m$ (IBP)

Thm: $\forall Y \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha, 1+}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^k)$, $M^Y = \int V^Y \lambda B \Rightarrow$

joint lift $Z^Y \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$, n.s. $\forall \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$.

Besides, there exists unique sol. to RDE

$X_s^{t, X, Y}(\omega) = (g, f)(s, X_s^{t, X, Y}(\omega), Z_s^Y(\omega))$

which is time-inhomogeneous Markovian and n.s.

$\mathbb{L}(X^{t, X} | \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{G}_t^B)(\omega) = \mathbb{L}(X^{t, X, Y} | \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{G}_t^B)(\omega) =$

$\mathbb{L}(X^{t, X, Y} | Y = Z(\omega))$. where $X^{t, X}$ is sol. to SDE

(*) and $X^{t, X, Y}$ is sol. to RDE of (*).

Pf: Use BDG inequal. and Kolmogorov's

criterion $\Rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$.

And $f, g \in C_b^3 \Rightarrow$ well-posedness.

We also see $X^{t, X, Y}$ is up-limit of

time-inhomo. Markov $X^{t,x,Y^\varepsilon} \subset Y^\varepsilon \rightarrow Y$
 and Y^ε is piecewise linear RP)

(3) Feynman-Kac Represent:

Next, we will exploit the Markovian nature of $X^{t,x,Y}$ to the RDE in Thm'.

Let $\varphi \in C_B(K', K')$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}^{q,1}$. Consider RPDE:

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u^\varepsilon = L_t(u^\varepsilon) V_t^\varepsilon + \Gamma_t(u^\varepsilon) dY_t^\varepsilon, & t \in [0, T) \\ u^\varepsilon(T, x) = \varphi(x) \end{cases}$$

where $L_t[u^Y](x) := \frac{1}{2} g^2(t, x) \partial_{xx}^2 u^Y(t, x) + f_0(t, x) \partial_x u^Y(t, x)$

$\Gamma_t[u^Y](x) := f(t, x) \partial_x u^Y(t, x)$, $f_0 = -\frac{1}{2} f \partial_x f$.

Remark: Note f_0 here is from the RDE of $X^{t,x,Y}$ in Thm' is equi. with:

$$dX_s^{t,x,Y} = g(s, X_s^{t,x,Y}) v_s^Y dB_s + f_0(s, X_s^{t,x,Y}) V_s^Y ds + f(s, X_s^{t,x,Y}) dY_s^g$$

Here, recall $\exists Y^{g,2} \in \text{Lip}$. It. $Y^{g,2} \rightarrow Y^g$

So $dY_t^{g,2} = \dot{Y}_t^{g,2} dt$. We get classical PDE:

$$-\partial_t u = L_t(u) V_t^\varepsilon + \Gamma_t(u) \dot{Y}_t^{g,2}, \quad u(T, x) = \varphi(x).$$

We know $\forall C^{1,2}$ -sol. u^ε to this PDE has

unique represent $u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_T^{t,x,\varepsilon})]$

where $X^{t,x,\varepsilon}$ is unique strong sol. to SDE:

$$dX_s^{t,x,\varepsilon} = g(s, X_s^{t,x,\varepsilon}) \mathbf{v}_s^Y dB_s + (f_0(s, X_s^{t,x,\varepsilon}) \mathbf{V}_s^Y + f(s, X_s^{t,x,\varepsilon}) \dot{Y}_s^\varepsilon) ds.$$

Proof: $C^{1,2}$ for sol. u^2 can't be guaranteed
 so may not be unique while $u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon(t,x)$
 $= \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_T^{t,x,\varepsilon})]$ is weak sol. and the
 unique viscosity sol. (only require conti.)

Thm. $I \in C^{1,1}([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, $Y^\varepsilon \in \text{Lip}$ with lift $\tilde{Y}^{\varepsilon, \ell}$
 st. $\tilde{Y}^{\varepsilon, \ell} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^{\ell}$ in C^1 . And u^ε is the
 unique bad viscosity FK sol. above. Then
 $\exists u^\ell \in C_B([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ st. $u^\varepsilon \rightarrow u^\ell$ pointwise
 with FK repre.: $u^\ell(t,x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_T^{t,x,\ell})]$

Besides $S: C_B \times C^{1,1} \rightarrow C_B$ is conti.
 $(\varphi, I) \mapsto u^\ell$

Pf: 1) The RDE of $X^{t,x,\ell}$ is equi. with

$$dX_s^{t,x,\ell} = g(s, X_s^{t,x,\ell}) \mathbf{v}_s^Y dB_s + f_0(s, X_s^{t,x,\ell}) \mathbf{V}_s^Y ds + f(s, X_s^{t,x,\ell}) dY_s^\ell$$

2) Denote \tilde{Y}^ℓ is rough lift of Y^ℓ .

$$\text{i.e. } \tilde{Y}^\ell = \tilde{Y}^{\ell, \ell} - \frac{1}{2} \delta[\tilde{Y}^\ell]$$

$$\Rightarrow \|I^\ell - I\|_{q'} \rightarrow 0, \forall q' \in (\frac{1}{2}, 5).$$

3) $dX_s^{t,x,\varepsilon} = g(s, X_s^{t,x,\varepsilon}) \mathbf{v}_s^Y dB_s + (f_0(s, X_s^{t,x,\varepsilon}) \mathbf{V}_s^Y + f(s, X_s^{t,x,\varepsilon}) \dot{Y}_s^\varepsilon) ds,$

is well-posed. And we can prove

the sol. $X^{t,x,\varepsilon} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} X^{t,x,Z^\varepsilon}$ (the sol. to RDE of $X^{t,x,Z}$ in Thm' by replace Y^ε)
 $S_0: X^{t,x,Z^\varepsilon} = X^{t,x,Z} \xrightarrow{uCP} X^{t,x,Z}$
 $\Rightarrow u^\varepsilon \rightarrow u^Z := \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X^{\dots,Z}))$ pointwise.
 (By some Ascoli argument. We have $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{uCC} u^Z$ in fact.)

4) Continuity is from stab. of RDE sol.

Remark: Replace $\sigma(Y^Z)$ by $\sigma(I)$ (strat. lift.)

\Rightarrow We have linear SPDE:

$$-dtu = L_t(u)dt + \Gamma(u) \circ dW_t \quad (*)$$

($W \leq 0$, $V_t = 1$. or absorb it into L_t)

By using backward strat. integration

against W , we can give meaning

to sol. u_t for $(*)$, adapted to

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^W = \sigma(W_V - W_u, t = u \leq v \leq T)$$

But it's hard to generalize W

to local mart. (Semimart is not

stable under time-reversal)

Remark: We consider the SPDE in

start. from here because the wellposedness for Itô form require stochastic parabolic condition

(4) Option pricing:

Restrict on Vanilla options, $q \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$

Next, we want to compute $\mathbb{E}(q(X_T^{t,x}))$

To make use of Markov nature of B .

We first consider $u(t, x, w) = \mathbb{E}(q(X_T^{t,x}) | \mathcal{F}_T^w)$

Thm.³ For $g, f \in C_b^3$, $Y \in C^{k-1,1}$, $u^Y(t, x)$ is the sol. to the RPDE in Thm²

$$\Rightarrow u(t, x, w) = u^Y(t, x) |_{Y = I(w)} \text{ n.s.}$$

Pf: By Thm¹. $\mathbb{L}(X^{t,x}, I | \mathcal{F}_T^w \vee \mathcal{G}_t^B) = \mathbb{L}(X^{t,x} | \mathcal{F}_T^w)$

And note \exists Borel-measur. func. F .

$$\text{s.t. } q(X^{t,x}, I) = F(I, B).$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{LHS} = \mathbb{E}(F(I, B) | \mathcal{F}_T^w \vee \mathcal{G}_t^B)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Thm}^1}{=} \int_C F(Y, b) \mu_B(A_b) |_{Y = I(w)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(q(X_T^{t,x}, I) |_{Y = I(w)}) = u^{I(w)}(t, x)$$

where μ_B is r.c.m. of B w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_T^w .

Cor. We obtain the price at $t=0$ is

$$p_0 = \mathbb{E} (u^I(0, X_0)).$$

Prop. (Price at any time t)

Under same assumption as above. We have

$$\mathbb{E} (q(X_T) | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E} (u^I(t, X) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) |_{X=X_t} \text{ a.s.}$$

Pf. Note $X_s^{t,x}$ satisfies integral eq.:

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s f(u, X_u^{t,x}) du + \int_t^s \sigma(u, X_u^{t,x}) dW_u$$

and it's strong unique.

$$\Rightarrow X_s^{t,x} \text{ is flow sol.} \Rightarrow X_T = X_T^{t,x}$$

Remark: Note def of flow sol. also

requires measurability

$$(W, X) \mapsto X_t^{s,x}(W) \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$$

It's true from continuity of

$$\text{SDE sol. : } x \mapsto X_t^{s,x} \text{ conti.}$$

Note $x \mapsto X^{t,x,I}$ by conti. of RDE sol.

$$S_0 : x \mapsto \mathbb{E} (q(X_T^{t,x,I}) | \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B) \text{ is well-}$$

def and conti. by DCT.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} (q(X_T) | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E} (q(X_T^{t,x_t}) | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

$$\lim^1 = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\phi(X_T^{t,x}, \mathbf{I}), \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

$$\lim^2 = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\phi(X_T^{t,x}, \mathbf{I}), \mathcal{F}_T^W \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^W \right) \Big|_{x=X_t}$$

$$\lim^3 = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\phi(t, X), \mathcal{F}_t^W \right) \middle| x=X_t \right) \text{ n.s.}$$

eg. i) $f = e, g = (1 - e^2)^{1/2}, e \in [-1, 1]$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}X_s^{t,x} = V_s \left(\mathcal{A}W_s + (1 - e^2)^{1/2} \mathcal{A}B_s \right)$$

With RPDE
$$\begin{cases} -d_t u^Y &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho^2) \partial_{xx}^2 u_t^Y V_t^Y dt + \rho \partial_x u_t^Y dY_t^g \\ u^Y(T, x) &= \phi(x). \end{cases}$$

Choose $Y^{z, \epsilon} \subset \text{resp. } Y^\epsilon$, geometric approxi.

consider
$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u^\epsilon &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho^2) V_t^Y \partial_{xx}^2 u_t^\epsilon + \rho Y_t^\epsilon \partial_x u_t^\epsilon \\ u^\epsilon(T, x) &= \phi(x). \end{cases}$$

Let $u^\epsilon(t, x) := v(t, x + \epsilon \delta Y_{t,T}^\epsilon)$. v will

Solve
$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t v &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho^2) V_t^Y \partial_{xx}^2 v_t \\ v(T, x) &= \phi(x). \end{cases}$$

In fact, we can find $v = \phi * f$.

where $f(t, x, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y - x)^2}{2(1 - \rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}} \right\}$.

So the sol. u^ϵ is expressed in:

$$u^\epsilon(t, x) := v(t, x + \rho Y_{t,T}^\epsilon) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \phi(y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y - x - \rho Y_{t,T}^\epsilon)^2}{2(1 - \rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}} \right\} dy.$$

Using dominated convergence, we finally find the solution to the RPDE is explicitly given by

$$u^Y(t, x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u^\epsilon(t, x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \phi(y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y - x - \rho Y_{t,T})^2}{2(1 - \rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}} \right\} dy.$$

Rmk: V just satisfies heat equation with extra multiple V^2 . Recall $[I] = \int V ds$
 \Rightarrow We get expression of f .

So by randomizing $Y = I(\omega)$, we have:

$$u(t, x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\rho^2)[I]_{t,T}(\omega)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y-x-\rho I_{t,T}(\omega))^2}{2(1-\rho^2)[I]_{t,T}(\omega)}\right\} dy.$$

Rmk: Note $X_{t,T}^{t,x} = X + e \int_t^T v_s dW_s + \square \sim$

$$N(X + e \delta I_{t,T}, (1-e^2) \delta [I]_{t,T}) | \omega \Rightarrow u(t, x, \omega)$$

ii) $f = e^x, g = (1-e^2)^{1/2} x, e \in [-1, 1]$.

$$\Rightarrow dX_s^{t,x} = X_s^{t,x} v_s e dW_s + (1-e^2)^{1/2} \beta_s$$

with
$$\begin{cases} -d_t u^Y &= (\frac{1}{2} x^2 (1-\rho^2) \partial_{xx}^2 u_t^Y - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 x \partial_x u_t^Y) V_t^Y dt + \rho x \partial_x u_t^Y dY_t^g \\ u^Y(T, x) &= \phi(x). \end{cases}$$

Consider ε -approx. PDE:

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u^\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 (1-\rho^2) V_t^Y \partial_{xx}^2 u_t^\varepsilon + (\rho x \dot{Y}_t^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 x V_t^Y) \partial_x u_t^\varepsilon \\ u^\varepsilon(T, x) &= \phi(x). \end{cases}$$

We can reduce that PDE to

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t v &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 (1-\rho^2) V_t^Y \partial_{xx}^2 v_t \\ v(T, x) &= \phi(x). \end{cases}$$

by letting $u^\varepsilon(t, x) =: v(t, x) e^{\gamma^\varepsilon(t)}$, where

$$\gamma^\varepsilon(t) = e \delta Y_{t,T}^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} e^2 \delta [I]_{t,T}$$

We can solve $v(t, x)$, given by:

$$v(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(y) \frac{1}{y \sqrt{2\pi(1-\rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\ln(y/x) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\rho^2)[Y]_{t,T})^2}{2(1-\rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}} \right\} dy.$$

Using dominated convergence, and applying Theorem 3.5, we find in this case

$$\begin{aligned} u^Y(t, x) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} v(t, x e^{\psi^\epsilon(t)}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(y) \frac{1}{y \sqrt{2\pi(1-\rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\ln(y/x) - \rho Y_{t,T} + \frac{1}{2}[Y]_{t,T})^2}{2(1-\rho^2)[Y]_{t,T}} \right\} dy. \end{aligned}$$

$$S_0 : u(t, x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(y) \frac{1}{y \sqrt{2\pi(1-\rho^2)[I]_{t,T}(\omega)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\ln(y/x) - \rho I_{t,T}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}[I]_{t,T}(\omega))^2}{2(1-\rho^2)[I]_{t,T}(\omega)} \right\} dy.$$

Remark: i) The formulas can be deduced from Romano-Touzi formula.

ii) Similarly, $X_T^{\epsilon, X} | \omega$ has log-normal distribution.

Procedure to derive u :

- i) Write down RPDE of u .
- ii) Choose approxi. Y^ϵ . Write down ϵ -PDE for u^ϵ .
- iii) Solve u^ϵ . Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain u^ϵ .
- iv) Randomize $u^\epsilon | \mathcal{F}_t(\epsilon)$.

(5) Multivariate Case:

We can consider \mathbb{R}^d -dim LSV model

$$\mu X_s^{\epsilon, X} = F(\epsilon, X_s^{\epsilon, X}) \text{ vs } \mu W_s + G(\epsilon, X_s^{\epsilon, X}) \text{ vs } \mu B_s. \text{ Where}$$

$F, G \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty, \infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ smooth. A and B , W are

indep't λ -lim Dms, $V_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\lambda \times \lambda}$ satisfy assumpt.

RMK: B and W can be in different lim.

by letting $V \in \mathbb{R}^{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2}$ (non-square) and

introducing linear proj. map Π^W, Π^B .

Then Thm¹, Thm² will still hold with

$$\text{RPDE: } \begin{cases} -d_t u &= L_t[u]dt + \Gamma_t[u]dY_t^g \quad t \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \\ u(T, x, \cdot) &= \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$L_t[u](x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [G(t, x)(v_t^Y)(v_t^Y)^T G(t, x)^T \nabla_{xx}^2 u(t, x)] + \langle F_0(t, x), \nabla_x u(t, x) \rangle$$

$$\Gamma_t[u](x) = \langle F(t, x), \nabla_x u(t, x) \rangle,$$

where we define $F_0(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$ by $F_0^i(t, x) := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k,l} \partial_{x_k} F^{ij}(t, x) F^{kl}(t, x) (v_t^Y (v_t^Y)^T)^{lj}$

Similarly, $\mathbb{E} \langle \phi(X_T^{t,x}) | \mathcal{F}_T^W \rangle_{\mathcal{L}(W)} \stackrel{\text{ms.}}{=} u^Y(t, x) |_{Y=I(W)}$

where u^Y is unique sol. to RPDE above.

(6) Numerics:

Under the same assumpt. consider SDE:

$$dX_s^{t,x} = f(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + g(s, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s + \dots$$

$$\text{Recall } \mathbb{E} \langle \phi(X_T^{t,x}) | \mathcal{F}_T^W \rangle_{\mathcal{L}(W)} \stackrel{\text{ms.}}{=} u^Y(t, x) |_{Y=I(W)}$$

Since we can generate sample $(I^{(m)})_m$

Next, we solve RPDE for u^Y by FDM.

$$\text{(Then we have } u^{I^{(m)}}(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \langle \phi(X_T^{t,x, I^{(m)}}) \rangle)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{u}(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \langle u^{I^{(m)}}(t, x) \rangle \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m u^{I^{(m)}}(t, x) =: \hat{u}^m(t, x)$$

Remark: i) Here $u^{\mathbb{Z}^{(m)}}$ is not the option price for \mathcal{F}^0 -price $X^{t,x,\mathbb{Z}^{(m)}}$ since $X^{t,x,\mathbb{Z}^{(m)}}$ isn't \mathcal{F}^0 -mart. (has drift from W)

ii) The scheme is more stable than the plain MC, since the conditioning can regularize the payoff func. ϕ .

Consider on $[0, T] \times [a, b]$. $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{N}$. $\Delta t = \frac{T}{J}$.

Choose Dirichlet bdy condition. we solve

$$\begin{aligned} -d_t u^Y &= L_t[u^Y] \mathbf{V}_t^Y dt + \Gamma_t[u^Y] dY_t^g, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [a, b] \\ u^Y(t, a) &= \psi_a(t), \quad t \in [0, T[\\ u^Y(t, b) &= \psi_b(t), \quad t \in [0, T[\\ u^Y(T, x) &= \phi(x), \quad x \in [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

First order FDM:

Here we approxi. $u^{\mathbb{Z}^\varepsilon}$ for Σ -PDE v.r.t. Y_ε

Remark: So $|\bar{u} - u^Y| \leq |\bar{u} - u^{\mathbb{Z}^\varepsilon}| + |u^{\mathbb{Z}^\varepsilon} - u^Y|$

Set $u_j^n = u^{\mathbb{Z}^\varepsilon}(t_j, x_n)$, we have on $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$:

$$u_j^n = u_{j+1}^n + \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} L_s[u^\varepsilon](x_n) d[Y]_s + \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \Gamma_s[u^\varepsilon](x_n) dY_s^\varepsilon. \quad (30)$$

We replace the space derivatives in the differential operators L and Γ by finite difference quotients

$$L_{t_j}[u^\varepsilon](x_n) \approx L_j^n := \frac{1}{2} g^2(t_j, x_n) \frac{u_j^{n+1} + u_j^{n-1} - 2u_j^n}{(\Delta x)^2} + f_0(t_j, x_n) \frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta x}$$

$$\Gamma_{t_j}[u^\varepsilon](x_n) \approx \Gamma_j^n := f(t_j, x_n) \frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta x}.$$

While recalling to keep the stability, we require $\Delta t / \Delta x^2$ is small in explicit scheme

To improve it, we can use implicit-explicit (IMEX) scheme, i.e. choose left pt for 1st integral and right pt for the 2nd one:

$$u_j^n = u_{j+1}^n + L_j^n [Y]_{t_j, t_{j+1}} + \Gamma_{j+1}^n Y_{t_j, t_{j+1}}^e,$$

for $0 \leq j \leq J-1, 1 \leq n \leq N-1$, with boundary conditions

$$u_j^0 = \psi_a(t_j), \quad u_j^N = \psi_b(t_j), \quad u_j^n = \phi(x_n).$$

② Second order FDM:

We focus on sol. u^Y to the KPDE here.

But rather considering the sol. defined by limit of viscosity sol.'s. We consider

$u^Y \in C^{0,2}$ is regular sol. and satisfies

$$u^Y(t, x) = q(x) + \int_t^T L_s(u^Y) V_s ds + \int_t^T \Gamma_s(u^Y) dI_s^Y$$

with $(\Gamma(u^Y), (\Gamma(u^Y))' = -\Gamma(\Gamma(u^Y))) \in D_{I^2}^{2\alpha}$.

Remark: Note here $(\Gamma(u^Y))' = \Gamma'(u^Y) + \Gamma(u^Y)'$

$(u^Y)' = -\Gamma(u^Y)$ and $\Gamma'u = 0$. since $f \in C^3$

So Gubnelli derivative of f w.r.t. I is 0.

$$\text{Note } Y \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \mathbb{Y}_{s,t}^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\delta Y_{s,t})^2$$

$$J_1 := \int_t^T \Gamma_s(u^{\mathbb{Z}}) dY_s^2$$

$$= \lim_{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum (\Gamma_s(u^{\mathbb{Z}}) \delta Y_{r,s} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s(u^{\mathbb{Z}}) (\delta Y_{r,s})^2)$$

from backward representation for RI.

$$\text{For } u^Y(t_j, x_n) = u^Y(t_{j+1}, x_n) + \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} L_s[u^Y](x_n) V_s^Y ds + \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \Gamma_s[u^Y](x_n) dY_s^g.$$

Denoting $u_j^n := u^Y(t_j, x_n)$ for $0 \leq j \leq J$ and $0 \leq n \leq N$, we use the same approximations for L and Γ given in the last section, and define

$$(\Gamma_{t_j}^Y[u])(x_n) \approx (\Gamma^Y)_j^n := -2f_0(t_j, x_n) \frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^n}{\Delta x} + f^2(t_j, x_n) \frac{u_j^{n+1} + u_j^{n-1} - 2u_j^n}{(\Delta x)^2}.$$

We still apply the IMEX scheme:

$$u_j^n = u_{j+1}^n + L_j^n[Y]_{t_j, t_{j+1}} + \Gamma_{j+1}^n Y_{t_j, t_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma^Y)_{j+1}^n Y_{t_j, t_{j+1}}^2, \quad 0 \leq j \leq J-1, 1 \leq n \leq N-1.$$