

RDEs

1) Motivation:

Note for ODE $\dot{\eta} = V(\eta), \eta = \sum_1^d V_i(\eta) dx^i$

Lemma. $f(\eta_t) - f(\eta_s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_k \\ \in \{1, \dots, d\}}} \int_{A(s,t)}^k V_{i_1} \dots V_{i_k} f(\eta_s) dx_{r_1}^{i_1} \dots dx_{r_k}^{i_k}$

$+ \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_n \\ \in \{1, \dots, d\}}} \int_{A(s,t)}^n V_{i_1} \dots V_{i_n} f(\eta_{r_1}) dx_{r_1}^{i_1} \dots dx_{r_n}^{i_n}$

Where $Vf = \sum_{k=1}^d V_i^k \partial_k f$, $V = (V_1, \dots, V_d)$
 $V_i = (V_i^1, \dots, V_i^d) >$

$\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$

pf: $f(\eta)_{s,t} = \int_s^t Df(\eta)_r dx_r$

$= \int_s^t V f(\eta)_r dx_r$

$= \int_s^t V f(\eta)_s dx_r + \int_s^t (V f(\eta)_r - V f(\eta)_s) dx_r$
 $= \dots$ (iterated).

pf: For $g \in T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, μ^{zh} - Euler

Scheme is $\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_k \\ \in \{1, \dots, d\}}} V_{i_1} \dots V_{i_k} I_k(\eta)$

I is identity on \mathbb{R}^d . $f^{k, i_1 \dots i_k}$

Note by argument of Lemma. We know:

$$\eta_t \approx \eta_s + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta, S_n(x)_{s,t} \rangle. \text{ for } |t-s| \text{ suff. small.}$$

Small.

fmk: The derivative we consider above is Frechet kind. Note V can be

$$\text{viewed: } \eta \mapsto [a = (a^1 \dots a^k) \mapsto \sum_i V_i(\eta) a^i].$$

$$J_1: V \in \text{Lip}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^k) \Leftrightarrow V_i \in \text{Lip}^{\gamma} \quad \forall i.$$

prop. (Estimate)

For $\gamma > 1$, $V \in \text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}(\mathbb{R}^k)$, $x \in C^{1-\text{var}}([s,t], \mathbb{R}^k)$. Then: $\exists C = C(\gamma)$, s.t.

$$|\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta, \eta_s; x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta_s, \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \eta, \eta_s \rangle x_{s,t} \rangle|$$

$$\leq C \|V\|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}} \int_s^t |\lambda x_r|^{\gamma}$$

pf: Apply the Lemma. above. with:

$$|\int_{\Delta_{s,t}} V_{i_1} \dots V_{i_n} \mathcal{I}(\eta_r) - V_{i_1} \dots V_{i_n} \mathcal{I}(\eta_s) dX_{r_1}^{i_1} \dots dX_{r_n}^{i_n}|$$

$$\stackrel{\text{regular}}{\leq} \int_{\Delta_{s,t}} |\lambda X_{r_1}^{i_1} \dots \lambda X_{r_n}^{i_n}| \leq \int_s^t |\lambda X_r|^{\gamma}$$

$$\stackrel{\sim}{\leq} C \int_s^t |\lambda X_r|^{\gamma}. \quad n = \lceil \gamma \rceil.$$

Proof: Note: $Z_{(V)}(0, \eta_0; X)_{0,T} = E_{(1)}(\eta_0, \int_0^T (X)_{s,T})$

$$= \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_n \\ \in \{1, \dots, d\}}} \int_{0 \leq r < T} (V_{i_1} \dots I(\eta_r) - V_{i_1} \dots I(\eta_0)) dX_r^{i_1}$$

$$= \underbrace{\int_{t=r_1 \dots < r_n < T} dX_{r_1}^{i_1} \dots dX_{r_n}^{i_n}}_{\text{(check by def of } \frac{d}{dr} \text{)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{LHS} = \int_0^T (V^n(\eta_r) - V^n(\eta_0)) \cdot d(X_{r,T}^n)$$

Lemma. (Davis's estimate)

for $\gamma > p \geq 1$. If: i) $V \in \text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}$

ii) $X \in C^{1-\frac{1}{p}, \gamma}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbb{X} \triangleq \int_{[0, T]} (X)$

iii) $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is initial cond.

Then: $\exists C = C(p, \gamma)$, s.t. $\forall s < t \leq T$

$$\|Z_{(V)}(0, \eta_0; X)_{s,t}\|_{p\text{-var}} \leq C (\|V\|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}} \| \mathbb{X} \|_{p\text{-var}} \vee \|V\|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}}^p \| \mathbb{X} \|_{p\text{-var}}^p)$$

Cor. Under conditions above. if X^s

$$\in C^{1-\frac{1}{p}, \gamma}([s, t], \mathbb{R}^d), \text{ s.t. } \int_s^t |dX^{s,t}| \leq K \| \mathbb{X} \|_{p\text{-var}}$$

$$\text{and } \int_{\mathcal{E}_Y} (X^{s,t})_{s,t} = \int_{\mathcal{E}_Y} (X)_{s,t}$$

kind of \leftarrow
stability

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then: } |Z_{(v)}(s, \eta_s; X)_{s,t} - Z_{(v)}(\dots; X)_{s,t}| \\ \leq C_{Y,p} (K \|V\|_{\text{Lip}}^{p-1} \|\Sigma\|_{p-\text{var}})_{s,t}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: i) Combine prop. above. we know

$$Z_{(v)}(s, \eta_s; \int_{\mathcal{E}_Y} (X^{s,t})_{s,t}) \xrightarrow{\text{approx.}}$$

$$Z_{(v)}(\dots; X)_{s,t} \xrightarrow{\text{approx.}} Z_v(\dots; X)_{s,t}$$

ii) It gives a uniform estimate
only depending on path regular.

c2) Solutions of KDEs:

Lemma (κ_0 / κ_∞ estimate)

$$\kappa_0 \stackrel{A}{=} \kappa_{0-\text{nil}}. \quad \text{On } C_0 \subset [1,1], \mathcal{L}^N(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists C = C(N, d). \text{ s.t. } \kappa_\infty(x, \eta)$$

$$\leq \kappa_0(x, \eta) \leq C \kappa_\infty(x, \eta) \vee \kappa_\infty(x, \eta)^{\frac{1}{N}} \leq \|x\|_\infty + \|\eta\|_\infty^{1-1/N}$$

Recall $\forall x \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], G^{cp}(\mathbb{R}^k))$. by prop. of geometric spon, we have $x_n \in C^{1\text{-var}}$.

$$[\langle \cdot, S_{\varepsilon p}(x_n), x \rangle \rightarrow 0, \sup \|S_{\varepsilon p}(x_n)\| < \infty] (*)$$

By Lemma. above, it also holds for k_∞ metric.

Thm. (Existence)

If i) $V \in \text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}$, $\gamma > p$ ii) y_0 is initial

iii) x_n is seq in \mathbb{X} is weak geometric p -rough path. St. (*) holds.

Then: At least along a subseq:

$\langle \cdot, y_i^n; x_n \rangle$ converges to a limit

$\eta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^c)$. Under uniform top.

$$\text{St. } \|\eta\|_{p\text{-var}, [0, t]} \leq C_{p, \gamma} (\|V\|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}} \|\mathbb{X}\|_{p\text{-var}, [0, t]}^p \vee \|V\|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}}^p \|\mathbb{X}\|_{p\text{-var}}^p)$$

Cor. Under the same conditions,

Cor. of the Davis's Lemma
also holds!

Pf: Apply Davis's Lemma on X_n .

$$|Z_{\varepsilon_n}(0, \eta_0^n; X_n)_{s,t}| \leq \dots$$

Combine with the conditions.

It follows from Ascoli's Thm.

Pf: For $\kappa_\gamma = V(\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}$. $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ ^{p-v}

$[0, T]$, $h^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, γ is RDE solution

driven by \bar{x} along V start at γ_0 if

(*) holds, and $\gamma_n \in Z_{\varepsilon_n}(0, \gamma_0; X_n)$. St.

$\gamma_n \xrightarrow{n} \gamma$ on $[0, T]$.

Remark: i) Davis's def: CAs approxi. of scheme' ^{Euler}

Davis defined γ is RDE solution

if \exists control \tilde{u} and $\theta(s) = o(s)$ ($s \rightarrow 0$)

$$\text{st. } |y_{s,t} - \Sigma_{cv}(y_s; \underline{X}_{s,t})| \leq \theta(\tilde{W}(s,t))$$

Now apply the cor. above. Set

$$L(y) \geq p. \Rightarrow \Sigma_{cv}(X) = X. \tilde{W} = C(\|X\|_{p,\dots}^p)$$

Remark: The def lead to:

$$y_{i,t} = \lim \Sigma y_{\tilde{t}_i, \tilde{t}_{i+1}} = \lim \Sigma \Sigma_{cv}$$

$$(y_{\tilde{t}_i}^n, x_{\tilde{t}_i, \tilde{t}_{i+1}}^n)$$

ii) Lyon's def:

Lyon define the RDE solution

as rough integral equation

Thm. (local existence)

If we replace the condition: $\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}$

by $\text{Lip}_{loc}^{\gamma-1}$ above. Then either there

exists a global solution $y: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

or $\exists z \in [0, T)$, st. y is solution on

$[0, z)$. and $\lim_{t \rightarrow z} |y(t)| = +\infty$.

Thm. (Uniqueness)

if i) $V^1, V^2 \in \text{Lip}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d), \gamma > p \geq 1$

ii) W is fixed control.

iii) $\underline{X}^1, \underline{X}^2 \in C^{p-\nu, \nu}([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\text{FP}}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \text{ s.t.}$

$$\|\underline{X}^i\|_{p, W} \leq 1.$$

iv) $\|V^1\|_{\text{Lip}^\gamma} \vee \|V^2\|_{\text{Lip}^\gamma} \leq K < \infty.$

Then: \exists unique solution $\eta^i = \mathcal{Z}(V^i)(0, \eta^i; \underline{X}^i)$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathcal{L}_{p, W}(\eta^1, \eta^2) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \|\eta^1 - \eta^2\|_{p, W} \leq C_{\gamma, p} \mathcal{L}_{p, W}(\underline{X}^1, \underline{X}^2)$$

$$\cdot (K \|\eta^1 - \eta^2\| + \|V^1 - V^2\|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma+1}} + K \mathcal{L}_{p, W}(\underline{X}^1, \underline{X}^2))$$

(3) Full RDE solution:

Next we extend the value space of

η from \mathbb{R}^d to $\mathcal{H}^{\text{FP}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Def: $\underline{X} \in C^{p-\nu, \nu}([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\text{FP}}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \eta \in C([0, T],$

$\mathcal{H}^{\text{FP}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is full RDE solution along

(V_i) and start at $y_0 \in G^{ep}(K^L)$ if
 $\exists (X^n) \in C^{1-\nu_n}([1, T], K^L)$. St. $(*)$ holds
 and $\exists \eta_n \in Z_{(v)}(0, Z_1(y_0); X^n)$. St.
 $\eta_n \otimes J_{\varepsilon p}(\eta_n) \rightarrow \eta$ uniformly.

Thm. If i) $V \in Lip^{\gamma-1}(K^L)$, $\gamma > p$.

ii) Σ is weak geometric p -rough path

iii) $y_0 \in G^{ep}(K^L)$ initial condition

iv) η is full RDE solution of (V, Σ) .

Thm: $u \mapsto z_n = \eta_n \in G^{ep}(K^L) \subset T^{ep}(K^L) \simeq$
 $K^{1+L+\dots+L^{ep}}$ is solution of RDE:

$\mathcal{L}z_n = W(z) \mathcal{L}\Sigma_n$, $W(z) = z \otimes V_1(z)$.

Pf: $\mathcal{L}\eta_n = \mathcal{L}(\eta_n \otimes J_{\varepsilon p}(\eta_n))$

$\stackrel{\text{chain}}{=} \eta_n \otimes J_{\varepsilon p}(\eta_n) \otimes \mathcal{L}\eta_n$

$\stackrel{\text{ref}}{=} \eta_n \otimes V(\eta_n) \mathcal{L}\Sigma_n$.

Remark: The existence and uniqueness theorems are identical in case of (2).

And the THM, can be extended to $\bar{x} \in C^{p, \text{var}}([1, T], \mathbb{R}^{EP})$, where $\psi_{p,1} = t^p / |v|_n (|v|_n < 1/t)$.

\Rightarrow Zt can also be applied in BM which is $\psi_{2,1}$ -var but not 2-var.

Note when $V = (V_i) : z \mapsto (A_i z + b_i)$ is linear vector field. Then bdd condition won't hold. The THMs above can only assert local existence.

Thm. Zf i) $V_i(z) = A_i z + b_i$. $V \geq \max(|A_i| + |b_i|)$
ii) $x \in C^{p, \text{var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{EP})$. $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{EP}$
is initial condition.

Then: \exists unique full RDE solution:
 $Z_{(v)}(0, \eta; x)$ on $[0, T]$ and it satisfies

$$\|Z(x) \in \mathcal{O}(\eta; x)_{s.t.}\| \leq C_p (1 + |\eta|) \vee \|x\|_{p-var}.$$

Prk: The estimate can't be improved! $\mathcal{O} \leq C_p \|x\|_{p-var}^p$

(4) Integration along Rough path:

Note that we just define RDE solution as limit of ODE solutions.

Next, we also def rough integration as limit of R-S integrals.

Def: $\bar{X} \in C^{p-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i=1}^k$

$:\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. $\gamma \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$

is rough path integral of φ along

\bar{X} if $\exists (X_n) \subset C^{1-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

i) $X_0^n = Z_1(\bar{X})$, $\forall n$. ii) $L_{0, [0, T]}(\int_{\text{RDE}}(X_n), \bar{X}) \rightarrow 0$

iii) $\sup_n \|\int_{\text{RDE}}(X_n)\|_{p-var, [0, T]} < \infty$

$$iv) A_n \in S_{\varepsilon, p} \subset \int_0^{\cdot} \varphi(x_n, \Delta x_n, \gamma) \rightarrow \cdot$$

Def: $\int \varphi(x) \Delta \bar{X}$ denotes set of such γ .

Thm. If i) $(\varphi_i)_i \subset Lip^{\gamma-1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\gamma > p \geq 1$

ii) $\bar{X} \in C^{p-\gamma}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Thm: $\forall s < t \in [0, T)$, there exists unique rough path integral of φ along \bar{X} .

which is geometric rough path. And

$$\|\int \varphi(x) \Delta \bar{X}\|_{p-\gamma, (s,t)} \leq C_{p,\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{Lip^{\gamma-1}} (\|\bar{X}\|_{p-\gamma} \vee \|\bar{X}\|_{p-\gamma, (s,t)}^p)$$

Def: $\int \varphi(x) \Delta \bar{X}$ also have continuity

property if \exists fixed control w .

$$s.t. \max_{i=1,2} \{ \|\varphi^i\|_{Lip^{\gamma-1}}, \|\bar{X}^i\|_{p,w} \} \leq R < \infty.$$

(5) KDEs with Drift:

Next, we consider $V(\gamma) \Delta \bar{X}$ in OPE is

to model state-dependent perturbation:

Then the RDE has form:

$$d\eta = V(\eta) dX + W(\eta) dt. \quad W(\eta) \text{ is drift.}$$

Remark: We can replace V by $\tilde{V} = (V, W)$
and replace X by $\tilde{X} = \int_{\tau_0}^{\cdot} \tilde{V}(\eta) ds$
 $\Rightarrow d\eta = \tilde{V}(\eta) d\tilde{X}$.

(*) Next, we consider more general form:

$$W = (W_i)_{i=1}^d. \quad h \in C^{2-\nu, \nu}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d). \quad \gamma \text{ let}$$

the Young pairing $\int_{\tau_0}^{\cdot} \gamma ds$ well-def:

Assume $1/p + 1/q > 1$.

Remark: To get the datum: Set $\tau = 1$. $h_t = t$.

Def: Under the setting of (*). we say

$\eta \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ is RDE solution of

$$d\eta = V(\eta) d\tilde{X} + W(\eta) dh. \quad \text{Start at } \eta_0$$

if $\exists (X^n, h^n) \in C^{1-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d), x \in C^{1-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\text{st. } \sup_n \|S_{[p]}(X^n)\|_{p-var; [0, T]} + \|S_{[q]}(h^n)\|_{q-var; [0, T]} < \infty$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{0, [0, T]}(S_{[p]}(X^n), x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{0, [0, T]}(S_{[q]}(h^n), h)$$

$$= 0 \text{ and } \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}_{(p, q)}(0, \eta; (X^n, h^n)) \text{ st.}$$

$$\eta_n \xrightarrow{u} \eta \text{ on } [0, T]. \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Remark: As before, to define full RDE

$$\text{solution } \eta \in C([0, T], L^{\varepsilon(p, q)}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

$$\text{we require } \eta_n \otimes S_{[p, q]}(\eta^n) \rightarrow \eta$$

$$\text{in } [0, T], \eta_n \in L^{\varepsilon(p, q)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ starting pt.}$$

Theorem 12.6 Assume that, $p, q, \gamma, \beta \in [1, \infty)$ are such that

$$1/p + 1/q > 1 \quad (12.2)$$

$$\gamma > p \text{ and } \beta > q \quad (12.3)$$

$$\frac{\gamma-1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} > 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta-1}{p} > 1; \quad (12.4)$$

(i) $V = (V_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ is a collection of vector fields in $\widetilde{\text{Lip}}^{\gamma-1}(\mathbb{R}^e)$;

(i bis) $W = (W_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d'}$ is a collection of vector fields in $\widetilde{\text{Lip}}^{\beta-1}(\mathbb{R}^e)$;

(ii) (x_n) is a sequence in $C^{1-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, and x is a weak geometric p -rough path such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{0, [0, T]}(S_{[p]}(x_n), x) \text{ and } \sup_n \|S_{[p]}(x_n)\|_{p-var; [0, T]} < \infty;$$

(ii bis) (h_n) is a sequence in $C^{1-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{d'})$, and h is a weak geometric q -rough path such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{0, [0, T]}(S_{[q]}(h_n), h) \text{ and } \sup_n \|S_{[q]}(h_n)\|_{q-var; [0, T]} < \infty; .$$

- (iii) $y_0^n \in G^{[\max(p,q)]}(\mathbb{R}^e)$ is a sequence converging to some y_0 ;
 (iv) ω is the control defined by

$$\omega(s, t) = \left(|V|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}} \|x\|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \right)^p + \left(|W|_{\text{Lip}^{\beta-1}} \|h\|_{q\text{-var};[s,t]} \right)^q.$$

also ←

full RDE
 solution

Then, at least along a subsequence, $y_0^n \otimes S_{[\max(p,q)]}(\pi_{(V,W)}(0, \pi_1(y_0^n); (x_n, h_n)))$ converges in uniform topology, and there exists a constant C_1 depending on p, q, γ , and β such that for any limit point y , and all $s < t$ in $[0, T]$,

$$\|y\|_{\max(p,q)\text{-var};[s,t]} \leq C_1 \left(\omega(s, t)^{1/\max(p,q)} \vee \omega(s, t) \right).$$

Remark: For the uniqueness, we replace

$\text{Lip}^{\gamma-1}, \text{Lip}^{\beta-1}$ by $\text{Lip}^{\gamma}, \text{Lip}^{\beta}$ as

before. And require:

$$\|x\|_{p\text{-var}}, \|h\|_{q\text{-var}}, \|V\|_{\text{Lip}^{\gamma}}, \|W\|_{\text{Lip}^{\beta}}$$

are all bad.