

# Applications in Finance.

Setting:  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra. w.r.t.  $m$ -dim

SBM  $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ .  $\mathcal{W}^m =: \{ f_t \in \mathcal{F}_t^{(m)} \mid$

$\int_0^t f(u, \omega) du < \infty, \text{ a.s.} \}$ .

(1) Definitions:

Def: i) A market  $X(t) = (X_0(t), X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$

is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted Itô process.  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

$$\begin{cases} dX_0(t) = (r(t, \omega) X_0(t)) dt, & X_0(0) = 1. \\ dX_k(t) = \mu_k(t, \omega) dt + \sigma_k(t, \omega) dB_t, & X_k(0) = X_k \end{cases}$$

if  $X_0(t) \equiv 1$ . We call it's normalization

Rmf: i)  $X_k(t)$ , are prices of asset  $k$ .

Note  $X_0(t)$  is risk-free since it's lack of diffusion term.

ii) We can normalize the market by setting  $\bar{X}_k(t) =: X_k(t) / X_0(t)$ .

Note:  $X_0(t) = e^{\int_0^t r(s, \omega) ds} > 0$ .

Set  $f(t) = X_0^{-1}(t) > 0$ . Then =

$$d\bar{X}_k(t) = f(t) ( (\mu_k - r) dt + \sigma_k dB_t )$$

$$\text{i.e. } d\bar{X}_k = \beta_t ( \mu_k \bar{X}_k - r \bar{X}_k )$$

ii) A portfolio in market  $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is  $(t, \omega)$ -measurable and  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(w)}$ -adapted.

process  $\theta(t, \omega) = (\theta_0(t, \omega), \dots, \theta_n(t, \omega))_{t \in T}$ .

Rmk:  $\theta(t, \omega)$  can be seen as the number of units of assets we hold at  $t$ .

iii) Value at time  $t$  of portfolio  $\theta(t, \omega)$  is  $V(t, \omega) = \theta(t, \omega) \cdot X(t, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i(t) X_i(t)$ .

iv) Portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is self-financing if:

$$\int_0^T (|\theta_0(s)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\theta_i(s)| |r_{ij}(s)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_i(s) r_{ij}(s)|^2) ds < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\text{and } dV(t) = \theta(t) dX_t.$$

Rmk: i)  $\theta(t) \equiv \text{const.}$  is self-financing

ii) The first condition is for integrability.

iii) It stems from the discrete

$$\text{model: } \Delta V(t_k) = V(t_{k+1}) - V(t_k)$$

$$= \theta(t_k) \Delta X(t_k), \text{ set } \Delta t_k \rightarrow 0$$

It means no money brought in or taken out. (which only depend on  $\theta(t)$ ).

prop.  $\theta$  is self-financing for  $X_t$ .

$\Leftrightarrow \theta$  is self-financing for  $\bar{X}_t$ .

Pf:  $\bar{V}_t^\theta = \theta(t) \cdot \bar{X}(t) = \beta(t) V^\theta(t).$

using  $\mathbb{Z}_0^0: \kappa \bar{V}^\theta(t) = \theta(t) \kappa \bar{X}(t).$

prop.  $\theta(t)$  is self-financing  $\Leftrightarrow \theta_0(t) = V^\theta(0)$

$+ \beta(t) A(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) A(s) \beta(s) ds$  - where  $A_t$

$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_0^t \theta_i(s) dX_i(s) - \theta_i(t) X_i(t) \right)$

Rmk. Given  $(\theta_i(s))_{i=1}^n$  we can make

$\theta(t)$  self-financing by choosing  $\theta_0$

as above and choose  $V_0^\theta$  freely.

Pf:  $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i(t) X_i(t) = V^\theta(0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \theta_i(s) dX_i(s).$

set  $Y_0(t) = \theta_0(t) X_0(t).$

$\Leftrightarrow \kappa Y_0(t) = \alpha(t) Y_0(t) \kappa_t + \kappa A(t).$

Solve it:  $\beta(t) Y_0(t) = \theta_0(0) + \int_0^t \beta(s) \kappa A(s).$

Pf: A self-financing portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is admissible

if  $\exists k = k(\theta) < \infty$  s.t.  $V^\theta(t) \geq -k$  a.s.

for  $[0, T] \times \Omega$ .

Lemma.  $\Phi(t)$  is admissible for  $X(t)$

$\Leftrightarrow \Phi(t)$  is admissible for  $\bar{X}(t)$ .

Def: An admissible portfolio  $\Phi(t)$  is  
arbitrage if  $V^{\Phi}(0) = 0$ ,  $V^{\Phi}(t) \geq 0$ .

n.s. and  $\mathbb{P}(V^{\Phi}(T) > 0) > 0$

Remark:  $\Phi(t)$  can generate a profit  
without risk of losing money.

A market can't exist for a  
long time if arbitrage exists!

Remark: These definitions have additional conditions  
on self-financing portfolio.

Actually, if we only require self-financing  
on a portfolio. Then we can generate  
any final value  $V^{\Phi}(T)$  from it

Def: A measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  is equi. (local) mart. measure  
if  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$  and  $\bar{X}_t$  is (local) mart.  
w.r.t  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Lemma. If equi. local mart. measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  exists.

Then, market  $(X_t)_{t \leq T}$  has no arbitrage.

Pf:  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P} \Rightarrow \bar{V}^\theta(t)$  is local mart. w.r.t.  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

With lower bdd  $\Rightarrow \bar{V}^\theta(t)$  is supermart.

$$S_1: \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{V}^\theta(t)) \leq \bar{V}^\theta(0) = 0.$$

If  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{V}^\theta(T) > 0) > 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\bar{V}^\theta(T) > 0) > 0$   
which is a contradiction!

Rmk: Actually, the market also satisfies  
a stronger condition "no free lunch  
with vanishing risk" (NFLVR)

Thm. i) If exist  $u(t, \omega)$  is  $(t, \omega)$ -measurable  
and  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.  $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^T \|u\|^2 dt) < \infty$ .

$$S_t: \sigma(t) u(t) = M(t) - \ell(t) X(t), \text{ n.s. } (t, \omega).$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{E}(\ell^2 \int_0^T u^{*}(t, \omega) u(t), dt) < \infty.$$

Then, the market  $X_t$  has no arbitrage.

ii) Conversely, if  $X_t$  has no arbitrage.

then  $\exists u(t, \omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted,  $(t, \omega)$ -measurable.

$$\text{satisfies: } \sigma(t, \omega) u(t, \omega) \stackrel{\text{n.s.}}{=} M(t, \omega) - \ell(t, \omega) X(t)$$

Pf: i) WLOG,  $X_t$  is normalized, so  $\ell \equiv 0$ .

$$\text{set } \lambda_t = \ell - \int_0^t u \wedge B_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t u^* \wedge dt \quad \lambda \text{ IP.}$$

By Girsanov:  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ , and

$$\tilde{B}_t = \int_0^t u ds + B_t \text{ is } \mathbb{Q}\text{-BM}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda X_{F_t}(t) = \sigma_F \lambda \tilde{B}(t), \text{ local mart.}$$

ii) Set  $F_t = \{W \in \mathcal{N} \mid \sigma_W = M \text{ has no solutions}\}$

$$= \{W \in \mathcal{N} \mid \exists V(t, W), \int_t^T \sigma^T(t, W) \cdot V(t, W) = 0, V(t, W) M(t, W) \neq 0\}$$

$$\text{Def } \theta_i(t, W) = \begin{cases} 0, & W \notin F_t \\ \text{sgn}(V_M) V_i, & W \in F_t \end{cases}$$

Choose  $V^\theta(t, 0) = 0, \theta_0(t)$  st.  $\theta$  is

Self-financing (Note it's also measurable)

$$\text{Note: } V^\theta(t) = \int_0^T \sum \theta_i \lambda X_i(t)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^T I_{F_t} \cdot V \cdot M \lambda t \geq 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{F_t} = 0 \text{ a.s. } (t, W), \forall t.$$

prop. i)  $X_t$  has no arbitrage  $\Leftrightarrow \bar{X}_t$  has no arbitrage.

ii)  $\bar{X}_t$  has no arbitrage  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  admissible portfolio  $\theta_t$  st.  $\bar{V}^\theta(t, 0) \leq \bar{V}^\theta(t, T)$  a.s. and  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{V}^\theta(t, 0) = \bar{V}^\theta(t, T)) \geq 0$ .

Rmk: So for a normalized market,  $\bar{V}^\theta(t, 0) = 0$  is not essential.

Pf: i) Note  $\bar{V}^\theta(t) = f(t) V^\theta(t)$

ii) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Def  $\tilde{\theta}(t)$  by:  $\tilde{\theta}_k(t) = \theta_k(t), k > 0$   
choose  $\tilde{\theta}_0(t)$

st.  $\bar{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}(0) = 0$  and satisfies

self-financing.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \bar{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) &= \int_0^t \tilde{\theta}(s) \wedge \bar{X}(s) \\ &= \int_0^t \theta(s) \wedge \bar{X}(s) \\ &= \bar{V}^\theta(t) - \bar{V}^\theta(0) \end{aligned}$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Choose  $\tilde{\theta}_k(t) = \theta_k(t)$  and

$$\tilde{\theta}_0(t) = \bar{V}^\theta(0) + \theta_0(t) \text{ where}$$

$\theta$  is an arbitrage for  $\bar{X}_t$ .

## (2) Attainable and Complete:

Lemma. For  $u$  is  $(t, \omega)$ -measurable.  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.

and  $\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \|u\|^2 \wedge dt \right) < \infty$ . Set  $\mathbb{Q} =$

$\left\{ \exp \left( - \int_0^T u \wedge B_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u\|^2 \wedge dt \right) \wedge \mathbb{P} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T^{(m)} \right\}$ .

$\tilde{B}(t) = \int_0^t u \wedge ds + B(t)$ . We have:

i) If  $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_T^{(m)}, \mathcal{L}) \Rightarrow \exists \theta$  satisfies

$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \theta^2 \wedge dt \right) < \infty$ .  $(t, \omega)$ -measurable and

$\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted. st.  $F = \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{Q}(F) + \int_0^T \theta \wedge \tilde{B}_t$

ii)  $\int_0^t \sum \theta_i \sigma_i \lambda \tilde{B}_s$ . Will be a-mart. if  $X_t$  is complete.

Note that for an attainable claim  $F(\omega)$  which has representation:  $F = z + \int_0^T \phi \lambda \tilde{B}_t$ . if we want to find portfolio  $\theta$  to hedge it.  $\theta$  will be:  $\theta(t) = X_0(t) \phi(t) \lambda(t)$ .

So: Next we want to find  $\phi(t)$ . given  $F$ .

Thm. For Itô diffusion  $Y(t)$ :

$$\lambda Y_t = b(Y_t) \lambda t + \sigma(Y_t) \lambda \tilde{B}_t. \quad Y(0) = y.$$

$h: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . st.  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathbb{E}_x^h(h(Y_{T-t}))_i^k)$  exists.

If  $\mathbb{E}_x^h(\int_0^T \phi^2 \lambda dt) < \infty$ . where  $\phi(t, \omega) =$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathbb{E}_x^h(h(Y_{T-t}) | \eta = Y_t) \sigma_i(Y_t). \quad \text{Then:}$$

$$h(Y_{T+1}) = \mathbb{E}_x^h(h(Y_T)) + \int_0^T \phi(t) \lambda \tilde{B}_t.$$

Pf: Set  $g(t, \eta) = \mathbb{E}_x^h(h(Y_{T-t}))$

$$z(t) = g(t, Y(t)).$$

By Kolmogorov backward equation:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \sum b_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum (\sigma \sigma^T)_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0.$$

By Itô:  $\lambda z(t) = \sum \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}(t, Y_t) \sigma_i(Y_t) \lambda \tilde{B}_t.$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Find } z(0), z(T). \Rightarrow h(Y_{T+1}) = \dots$$



$$\text{ii) } \bar{X}_t \text{ satisfies: } \begin{cases} \lambda \bar{X}_0(t) = 0 \\ \lambda \bar{X}_k(t) = \int_0^t \sigma_k(s) \lambda \tilde{B}_k(s) \end{cases}$$

if  $u$  satisfies  $\sigma u = M - cX$ .

$J_0 = \bar{V}_t^\theta$  is also a local mart.

Rmk: Note  $\tilde{J}_t^{(m)}$  not necessarily equals to  $J_t^{(m)}$ .  $\Rightarrow$  i) isn't direct cor. of Itô's representation.

• Next, we assume  $u(t, w)$  in the initial setting of Lemma exists.  $J_0: (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  has no arbitrage.

Def: i) A European  $T$ -claim is r.v.  $F(w)$ . st.

$F \in \mathcal{F}_T^{(m)}$ .  $F \in L^2(\mathcal{Q})$ . has lower bound.

ii) Claim  $F$  is attainable if  $\exists z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta$  admissible portfolio. st.

$$F(w) = z + \int_0^T \theta(s) \lambda X(s) =: V_\theta^z(s). \text{ a.s.}$$

and  $\bar{V}_\theta^z(s) =: z + \int_0^s \theta^T(u) \sigma(u) \lambda X(u)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -mart.  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Rmk: If such  $\theta(s)$  exists. We call it hedging portfolio of  $F$ . and

$$z \text{ must be } \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{Q}(F | \mathcal{F}_0).$$

iii) Market  $(X_t)_{t \leq T}$  is complete if  
 $\forall$  claim is attainable

Remark: By def.  $X_t$  complete  $\Rightarrow S_0$   
 is  $\bar{X}_t$ . (normalization)

Thm (Criterion)

$(X_t)_{t \leq T}$  is complete  $\Leftrightarrow \sigma$  has one  
 $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted left inverse  $\Lambda(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

i.e.  $\Lambda(t, \omega) \sigma(t, \omega) = I_m$  a.s.

Pf:  $(\Leftarrow)$   $f(\omega) \in L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_T^{(m)})$ .

Then use Lemma. to represent it.

We can solve  $\hat{\theta}(t, \omega) = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$

s.t.  $f(t) \hat{\theta}(t) \sigma(t) = \phi(t)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  choose  $\theta_0(t)$ . s.t.  $\theta$  is self-financing.

$(\Rightarrow)$  Choose  $f(\omega) = \int_0^T \phi \wedge \tilde{B}_0$ . where

$\phi \in L^2(\mathcal{A}, [0, T])$ .  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted.

We can also obtain  $\exists \theta$ . s.t.

$\hat{\theta} \sigma = \phi$ . for  $\forall$  such  $\phi$ .

$\Rightarrow r(\sigma) = m$ . So  $\Lambda$  exists!

Cor.  $X_t$  is complete  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  unique  $\mu(t, \omega)$ .

s.t.  $\sigma(t, \omega) \mu(t, \omega) = \mu(t, \omega) - e(t, \omega) X_t(\omega)$ .

Thm. Market  $X_t$  is complete  $\Leftrightarrow$  There exists unique eqn. mart. measure for  $(\bar{X}_t)_{t \leq T}$  normalized market.

(3) Option Pricing:

① European Options:

Def. A European option on claim  $F$  is a guarantee to be paid  $F(\omega)$ , at  $t = T$ .

Buyer:

Pay  $\eta$  to buy the option. To profit:

$$V_{\eta}^{-\eta}(T) + F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.}$$

Seller:

Receive  $\xi$  to sell the option. To profit:

$$V_{\xi}^{\xi}(T) - F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.}$$

$\mathcal{S} := p(F) = \{ \sup \{ \eta \mid \exists \text{ admissible portfolio } \varphi, \text{ st. } V_{\eta}^{-\eta}(T) + F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.} \} \}$

$$\text{st. } V_{\eta}^{-\eta}(T) + F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.}$$

$\mathcal{Z}(F) = \{ \inf \{ \xi \mid \exists \text{ admissible portfolio } \varphi, \text{ st. } V_{\xi}^{\xi}(T) - F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.} \} \}$

$$\text{st. } V_{\xi}^{\xi}(T) - F \geq 0, \text{ n.s.}$$

$p(F)$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}(F)$  are resp. the max / min which buyer / seller can accept.

Def: i) If  $p(F) = q(F)$ . We call it common value, the price of T claim  $F$ ,

ii)  $F(w) = (X_i(t, w) - k)^+$ .  $k > 0$  is called the European call.

iii)  $F(w) = (k - X_i(t, w))^+$ .  $k > 0$  is called the European put.

prop: European put / call permits the owner to sell / buy one unit  $i^{\text{th}}$  asset at price  $k$  (specific) at  $t = T$ .

Thm. For  $n$  and  $d$ . (def as before) exists and  $F$  is a T-claim. Then:

$$i) \text{ess inf } F(w) \leq p(F) \leq \mathbb{E}_Q(\mathcal{G}(T)F) \leq q(F) \leq \infty$$

$$ii) X_t \text{ is complete} \Rightarrow p(F) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\mathcal{G}(T)F) = q(F).$$

Pf: i)  $\forall \eta \leq \text{ess inf } F$ .  $\exists \varphi$  admissible.  $\varphi \equiv 0$ .

$$\text{satisfies: } V_{-\eta}^{\varphi}(T) \geq -F(w), \text{ n.s.}$$

$$\text{So: } p(F) \geq \eta \rightarrow \text{ess inf } F.$$

For other inequal. only need to notice.

$\int \Sigma \varphi_i \sigma \wedge \tilde{B}_t$  is lower bdd local  $\alpha$ -mart.

$\Rightarrow$  it's supermart.

Rmk: To apply on Finance.

First assume: 
$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \mu(X_t) X_t dt + \sigma(X_t) \sqrt{dt} \tilde{B}_t \end{cases}$$

Write  $F(w)$  into  $h_0(X(t))$

Set  $h(t) = g(t) h_0(X(t))$

## ② American options:

The difference between ① and ② is:

American option permits buyer to choose any exercise time  $\tau$  before time  $T$ .

Rmk: To be reasonable,  $\tau$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -stopping time.

Def: American  $T$ -claim  $F(t, w)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$ -adapted, conti. n.s.-lower bdd.  $t \leq T$ .

Buyer:

$$V_{-\eta}^{\mathcal{L}}(z(w), w) + F(z(w), w) \geq 0 \quad \text{n.s.} \\ \exists z$$

Seller:

$$V_z^{\mathcal{Y}}(z(w), w) - F(z(w), w) \geq 0, \quad \forall t. \quad \text{n.s.}$$

$\mathcal{P}_A(F) =: \sup \{ \eta \mid \exists z(w), \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} \text{ admissible portfolio, s.t. } V_{-\eta}^{\mathcal{L}}(z, w) + F(z, w) \geq 0, \text{ n.s.} \}$

$\mathcal{P}_A(F) =: \inf \{ \eta \mid \exists \mathcal{Y} \text{ admissible, } \forall t \in T, \text{ s.t. } V_z^{\mathcal{Y}}(z, w) - F(z, w) \geq 0, \text{ n.s.} \}$

$$V_z^{\mathcal{Y}}(z, w) - F(z, w) \geq 0, \quad \text{n.s.} \}$$

Thm. i) If  $\sup_{t \leq T} \bar{E}_t (f(z) F(z)) < \infty$ . Then:

$$P_A(F) = \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{E}_t (f(z) F(z)) \leq Z_A(F) \leq \infty.$$

ii) If  $X_t$  is complete in addition to i).

$$\text{Then: } P_A(F) \stackrel{1)}{=} \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{E}_t (f(z) F(z)) \stackrel{2)}{=} Z_A(F).$$

Pf: i) Process as before.

ii) 1) Set  $F_k = F \wedge k$ .  $G_k = X_0(t) S(z) F_k(z)$   
 $\Rightarrow G_k$  is T-claim. using completeness and Q-market, as before. Set  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$2) \text{ Set } S_t = \max_{t \leq z \leq T} \bar{E}_z (f(z) F(z) | \mathcal{F}_t^{(m)})$$

$$\text{use Doob's Recomp: } S_t = M_t - A_t.$$

$$M_t = Z + \int_0^t \phi_s d\tilde{B}_s = S_t + A_t \geq S_t. \quad Z = S_0$$

Know  $\hat{\theta}_t = X_0(t) \phi(t) \Lambda(t)$  by complete.

$$\Rightarrow Z + \int_0^t \hat{\theta} dX = Z + \int_0^t \phi_s d\tilde{B}_s \geq S_t.$$

$$J_t = Z + \int_0^t \theta dX \geq F(t), \quad t \leq T.$$

Remark: When the market is Itô diffusion and  $F(t) = h(X_t)$ . It converges with the optimal control problem and  $P_A(F)$  is the optimal solution if  $X_t$  complete.

ex. For American call  $F(t) = (X_t(t) - K)^+$ .

$$P_A(F) = e^{-\rho T} \bar{E}_t ((X_{1(T)} - K)^+). \quad X = (X_1, X_2) \text{ is B-S market.}$$