

Variational Approach to SPDE

It means reducing "solve SPDE/PDE" to "find energy minimal point".

eg. $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $f \mapsto \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 dx$. X is space of test func. Then: $f^* \in \operatorname{argmin} J(f) \Rightarrow f^*$ solves $\Delta f = 0$.

Pf: $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. $\forall g \in X$. $J(f^* + \varepsilon g) \geq J(f^*)$.

$$\Rightarrow \int \langle \nabla f^*, \nabla g \rangle = \int \langle \Delta f^*, g \rangle = 0.$$

(1) For PDEs:

First, we introduce helpful triple:

For H separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert. $V \subseteq H$. Subspace st. $V \hookrightarrow H$ is dense contin. embedding. Besides $\|h\|_H \leq \|h\|_V$ for $\forall h \in V$.

By Riesz isomorphism:

$$H \cong H^* \hookrightarrow V^* \cong V, h \mapsto \langle h, \cdot \rangle \mapsto \langle h, \cdot \rangle|_V \mapsto \tilde{h}.$$

the embedding i^* is also dense and contin.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } \|Lh\|_{V^*} &= \sup_{\|v\|_V=1} |Lh(v)| = \sup_{\|v\|_V=1} |\langle h, v \rangle| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|v\|_V=1} \|h\|_H \|v\|_V \leq \|h\|_H = \|Lh\|_{H^*} \end{aligned}$$

and Riesz-Banach Thm. \Rightarrow it's Riesz.

e.g. $H^{1,2}(D) \hookrightarrow L^2(D) = L^2(D)^* \hookrightarrow H^{1,2}(D)^*$. D is open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

\Rightarrow We can identify $A = \sum_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} x_j$ as BLD by $u \in H^{1,2}(D) \mapsto (v \mapsto \langle Au, v \rangle_{H^{1,2}}) \in H^{1,2}(D)^*$.

where $\langle Au, v \rangle = - \sum_{i,j} \int_D \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_j} v \kappa_{ij}$. $v \in H^{1,2}(D)$.

Remark: A can be seen as extension on $C_c^2(D) \subset H^{1,2}(D)$ here.

Def: $A \in L(U, V^*)$ is coercive if $\exists \alpha, \lambda > 0$.

$$\text{s.t. } -\langle Au, u \rangle \geq \alpha \|u\|_V^2 - \lambda \|u\|_H^2. \quad \forall u \in U.$$

Next, we consider IVP $(*)$: $A \in L(U, V^*)$.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt}(t) = Au(t) + f(t) & \text{on } \{t > 0\}. \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

Lem^{*}. If $u \in L^2([0, T], V)$, $t \mapsto u(t) \in AC([0, T], V^*)$ and weak deriv. $du/dt \in L^2([0, T], V^*)$.

and weak deriv. $du/dt \in L^2([0, T], V^*)$.

Then: $u \in C([0, T], H)$ and u_t -a.e.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_H^2 = v^* \left\langle \frac{du}{dt}(t), u(t) \right\rangle_V.$$

Pf: i) By Sobolev approxi. $\exists (u_m) \subset C^1([0, T], V)$

$$\text{s.t. } \|u_m - u\|_{L^2([0, T], V)} + \|u_m - u\|_{L^2([0, T], V^*)} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{So } \lim_m \frac{d}{dt} \|u_m(t)\|_H^2 = \lim_m 2 \langle u_m', u_m \rangle_V$$

$$= 2 \langle u', u \rangle_V \text{ in } L^1 \text{ by DCT}$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_H^2$ exists and $t \mapsto$

$\|u(t)\|_H^2 \in AC([0, T])$ with the weak

$$\text{deri. } 2 v^* \langle u', u \rangle_V.$$

ii) To prove: $u \in C([0, T], H)$.

Let $V \in L^2([0, T], H) \cap C^1([0, T], V)$ and

$$\langle v \rangle := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T v(t) dt. \text{ Then:}$$

$$\|v(t)\|_H^2 \lesssim \|v - \langle v \rangle\|_H^2 + \|\langle v \rangle\|_H^2$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \|v(t) - v(r)\|_H^2 dr +$$

$$\frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \|v(r)\|_H^2 dr$$

$$\|v(t) - v(r)\|_H^2 = 2 \int_r^t \langle v'(s), v(s) - v(r) \rangle_V ds$$

$$\leq \int_0^T \|v'(s)\|_{V^*}^2 ds + \int_0^T \|v(s)\|_V^2 ds + T \|v(r)\|_V^2$$

$$\text{So: } \sup_{[0, T]} \|v(t)\|_H^2 \lesssim \|v\|_{H^1([0, T], V)}^2$$

Let $V = U_n - U_m. \Rightarrow U_n \xrightarrow{n} \tilde{U}$ in M .

st. $U = \tilde{U}$. n.c.

Rmk: Note V isn't closed. So $u \in C([0, T], M)$ only rather V .

Thm. For $A \in L(V, V^*)$ coercive. $u_0 \in M$. $f \in L^2([0, T], V^*)$. Then: IVP (*) has unique

sol. $u \in (C([0, T], M) \cap L^2([0, T], V))$

Pf: i) Unique: Let u, v sol's for (*).

$$\Rightarrow \mu(u-v)/\mu t = A(u-v). \quad (u-v)(0) = 0.$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{\mu t} \|u-v\|_H^2 \stackrel{\text{lem.}}{=} \langle A(u-v), u-v \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{\text{coer.}}{\leq} \lambda \|u-v\|_H^2$$

$$\int_0^t \frac{\mu}{\mu t} \langle e^{-2\lambda t} \|u-v\|_H^2 \rangle \leq 0$$

$$e^{-2\lambda t} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_H^2 \leq 0. \Rightarrow u = v.$$

ii) Exist: Using Galerkin's method, (e_k) is o.n.b. of M . $V_n = \text{span}\{e_k\}_1^n$.

We solve $u_n \in C([0, T], V_n)$. st. $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu t} \langle u_n(t), e_k \rangle = \langle A u_n(t), e_k \rangle + \langle f(t), e_k \rangle$$

$$\langle u_n(0), e_k \rangle = \langle u_0, e_k \rangle.$$

Next we prove the estimate:

$$\sup_n \left(\sup_{[0, T]} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 + \int_0^T \|u_n(t)\|_V^2 dt \right) < \infty$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_1^n \langle u_n(t), e_k \rangle^2$$

$$= \sum_1^n v_k \langle Au_n(t) + f(t), e_k \rangle \langle u_n(t), e_k \rangle_V$$

$$= v_k \langle Au_n(t) + f(t), u_n(t) \rangle_V$$

$$\stackrel{C.W.}{\leq} -\alpha \|u_n(t)\|_V^2 + \lambda \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 + \|f(t)\|_{V^*} \|u_n(t)\|_V$$

$$\stackrel{A.H.-A.M.}{\leq} -\frac{\alpha}{2} \|u_n(t)\|_V^2 + \lambda \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|f(t)\|_{V^*}^2.$$

$$\text{Set } g(t) = \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 + \alpha \int_0^t \|u_n(s)\|_V^2 ds$$

Integrate both sides of above on t .

$$\Rightarrow g(t) \leq \|u_0\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_{V^*}^2 ds + 2\lambda \int_0^t g(s) ds$$

Apply Gronwall's inequal. to conclude.

By boundedness, $\exists (u_n) \rightarrow u \in L^2([0, T], V)$.

$\Rightarrow Au_n \rightarrow Au$ in $L^2([0, T], V^*)$, since A

$\in L(V, V^*)$. Also $u_n \xrightarrow{w} u$ in H .

So $u \in L^2(V) \cap C(H)$ solves $(*)$.

Generalization to Nonlinear op.:

Assume A is non-linear, coercive but not linear.

Since the estimate still holds, so $\exists (u_n) \rightarrow u \in L^2([0, T], V)$. With coercive of A , (Au_n) is still L^2 -bd $\Rightarrow \exists (Au_n) \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(V^*)$.

But we can't conclude $g = Au$ here.

① Monotonicity method:

Assume $\theta \mapsto A(\theta)(t)$ conti. & mono. condition:

$$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+, \langle Au - Av, u - v \rangle \leq \lambda \|u - v\|_H^2$$

and bound condition: $\|Au\|_{V^*} \leq M(1 + \|u\|_V)$

Wlog. assume $\lambda = 0$. (Or replace A by $A - \lambda I$.)

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^T \langle Au_n - Av, u_n - v \rangle dt \leq 0, \quad \forall v \in L^2([0, T], V).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } \int_0^T \langle Au_n, u_n \rangle dt &= \int_0^T \frac{1}{\mu t} \langle u_n, u_n \rangle - \langle u_n, f \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|u_n(\cdot, T)\|_H^2 - \sum_1^n \langle u_n, e_k \rangle^2) - \int_0^T \langle f, u_n \rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

Since $\exists u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2([0, T], V)$ with l.s.c. prop.

and $\int_0^T \langle Au_n, v \rangle + \langle Av, u_n \rangle$ also converges.

Besides, let $n \rightarrow \infty$: $\frac{1}{\mu t} \langle u(t), e_k \rangle = \langle g + f, e_k \rangle, \forall k$.

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{\mu t} u(t) = g(t) + f(t).$$

Apply Lemma^{*} above, we have:

$$\int_0^T \langle g(t), u(t) \rangle dt = \frac{1}{2} (\|u(T)\|_H^2 - \|u_0\|_H^2) - \int_0^T \langle f, u \rangle dt$$

$$\leq \overline{\lim} \int_0^T \langle Au_n, u_n \rangle(t) dt$$

Similarly, for $\forall v \in L^2([0, T], U)$.

$$\int_0^T \langle g(t) - Av(t), u(t) - v(t) \rangle$$

$$\leq \overline{\lim} \int_0^T \langle Au_n - Av, u_n - v \rangle(t) dt \leq 0.$$

Let $v(t) = u(t) + \theta w(t)$, $\forall w \in L^2([0, T], U)$, $\theta > 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^T \langle g(t) - A(u + \theta w), w \rangle(t) dt \leq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Set } \theta \rightarrow 0, \text{ so } \int_0^T \langle g(t) - Au(t), w \rangle dt = 0.$$

② Compactness method:

Assume $V \hookrightarrow H$ is $q.t.$ embedding and A is $cont.$ from V to V^* .

Lemma. $X := L^2([0, T], V) \cap H^{1,2}([0, T], V^*) \xrightarrow{q.t.} L^2([0, T], H)$.

Pf: For $\varepsilon > 0$. Define $J_\varepsilon g(s) = \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} g(t) dt / 2\varepsilon$

where we extend $g = g \chi_{[0, T]}$ on \mathbb{R} .

$\Rightarrow J_\varepsilon : L^2([0, T], V) \xrightarrow{BL0} C([0, T], V)$ from

$$\|J_\varepsilon g\|_V \stackrel{Hilbert}{\leq} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \|g\|_{L^2([0, T], V)}$$

i) Next, we show $J_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow C([0, T], H)$

is cpt by Ascoli: Thm.

$$\text{Note } \forall f \in X. \frac{\kappa}{\mu s} J_\varepsilon f(s) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} (f(s+\varepsilon) - f(s-\varepsilon))$$

$$\|J_\varepsilon f(t_2) - J_\varepsilon f(t_1)\|_H = \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\kappa}{\mu s} J_\varepsilon f(s) \mu s \right\|_H$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|f(s+\varepsilon) - f(s-\varepsilon)\|_H \mu s$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \|f\|_X / \varepsilon.$$

$\exists f \in A \text{ bnd.} \Rightarrow J_\varepsilon f$ is equicont. $\forall f \in A.$

$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon := J_\varepsilon(A) \subset (C([0, T], H))$ is relative cpt.

$$2) \text{ And } \|J_\varepsilon f(s) - f(s)\|_{V^*} = \left\| \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-2}^{\varepsilon} f(s+t) - f(s) \mu t \right\|_{V^*}$$

$$= \left\| \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-2}^{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \frac{\kappa}{\mu u} f(s+u) \mu u \mu t \right\|_{V^*}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-2}^{\varepsilon} \sqrt{|t|} \left(\int_0^t \left\| \frac{\kappa}{\mu u} f(s+u) \right\|_{V^*}^2 \mu u \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu t$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|f\|_X / 3.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|J_\varepsilon f(s) - f(s)\|_{L^2([0, T], V^*)} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon T} \|f\|_X / 3.$$

3) For (f_n) bnd in $X. \Rightarrow \exists (f_{n_k}) \rightarrow f \in X.$

and $J_\varepsilon f_{n_k} \rightarrow J_\varepsilon f$ since J_ε is cpt. And

$$\|u\|_H^2 = \nu^* \langle u, u \rangle_\nu \leq \delta \|u\|_\nu^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \|u\|_{V^*}^2. \forall u \in V.$$

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon := \|f_{n_k} - f\|_{L^2([0, T], H)}$$

$$\leq \|f_{n_k} - J_\varepsilon f_{n_k}\|_0 + \|J_\varepsilon f_{n_k} - J_\varepsilon f\|_0 + \|J_\varepsilon f - f\|_0$$

$$\leq \delta \|g_n - J_\varepsilon g_n\|_{L^2(U)} + \delta^{-1} \|g_n - J_\varepsilon g_n\|_{L^2(U^*)} \\ + \|J_\varepsilon (g_n - g)\|_{L^2(U)} + \delta \|g - J_\varepsilon g\|_{L^2(U)} + \\ \delta^{-1} \|g - J_\varepsilon g\|_{L^2(U^*)}$$

Next, we choose $\varepsilon > 0$. ($\sup_n \|J_\varepsilon g_n - g_n\| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$)

$$\sup_n \delta^{-1} \|J_\varepsilon g_n - g_n\|_{L^2(U^*)} \vee \delta^{-1} \|J_\varepsilon g - g\|_{L^2(U^*)} < \delta.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_k \|g_n - g\|_{L^2([0, T], H)} \leq 2\delta \leq \sup_k \|J_\varepsilon g_n - g_n\|_{L^2(U)} + 1$$

$$So: g_n \rightarrow g \text{ in } L^2([0, T], H).$$

Therefore $\exists (u_n) \rightarrow u$ in $L^2([0, T], H)$. With

$$\text{conti. of } A. \Rightarrow Au_n \rightarrow Au, Au = f.$$

2) For SPDE:

① Monotone - coercive SPDEs:

Assumptions:

Let $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ and $B: V \rightarrow L(U, H)$ satisfy

i) (coercive) $\exists \alpha > 0, \lambda, \nu$. s.t. $\forall u \in V$.

$$2 \langle Au, u \rangle + \|B(u)\|_{L^2(U, H)}^2 \leq -\alpha \|u\|_V^2 + \lambda \|u\|_H^2 + \nu$$

ii) (monotone) $\exists \lambda > 0$. s.t. $\forall u, v \in V$.

$$2 \langle Au - Av, u - v \rangle + \|B(u) - B(v)\|_{L^2(U, H)}^2 \leq \lambda \|u - v\|_H^2$$

iii) (linear growth) $\exists M > 0$. s.t. $\forall u \in V$

$$\|A(u)\|_{V^*} \leq M(1 + \|u\|_V)$$

iv) (Hemi-contin.) $\forall u, v, w. \lambda \mapsto \langle A(u + \lambda v), w \rangle$ conti.

Next, we consider to solve SPDE:

$$du(t) = A(u(t))dt + B(u(t))dW_t, u(0) = u_0.$$

Thm. Under assumpt. i) - iv), $\forall u_0 \in H$. There

exists unique adapted process, $u(t)$. s.t.

$u \in L^2([0, T]; V) \cap C([0, T], H)$ and satisfy

$$\langle u(t), v \rangle = \langle u_0, v \rangle + \int_0^t \langle A(u(s)), v \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle v, B(u(s))dW(s) \rangle.$$

Lemma (ZB's)

$u_0 \in H$. u, v are adapted $L^2([0, T], V) \cdot L^2([0, T], V^*)$ paths. M is conti. H -valued local mart. s.t. $u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t v(s)ds + M_t$.

Then: i) $u \in C([0, T], H)$ a.s.

$$\text{ii) } \|u(t)\|_H^2 = \|u_0\|_H^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle v(s), u(s) \rangle ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle u(s), dM_s \rangle + \langle M \rangle_t \quad \text{a.s.}$$

pf: $u_k(t) := \langle u(t), e_k \rangle = \langle u_0, e_k \rangle + \int_0^t v^k ds + M_t^k.$

where $V^k(s) = \langle V(s), e_k \rangle$. $m_t^k = \langle m_t, e_k \rangle$.

$\Rightarrow u_k(t) \in \text{Semimart}$. Apply Itô's:

$$du_k^2(t) = 2 \langle V(t), e_k \rangle u_k(t) \mu_t + 2 u_k(t) \lambda m_t^k + \langle m_t^k \rangle_t$$

$$S_0: \|u(t)\|_H^2 = \sum u_k^2(t)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{DCT}}{=} \sum \langle u_0, e_k \rangle^2 + 2 \int_0^t \sum V_k u_k \mu_s$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^t \sum u_k(s) \lambda m_s^k + \sum \langle m_t^k \rangle_t$$

$$= \|u_0\|_H^2 + \int_0^t 2 \langle V, u \rangle \mu_s + 2 \langle u, \lambda m \rangle + \langle m \rangle_t$$

For continuity of u :

Note $u \in C([0, T], V^*)$, since $u_0 \in H \subset V^*$,

and from ii): $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_H^2$ is conti.

So we only need to prove $u(t)$ is

weakly conti.: (H is uniform convex)

Since $\forall h \in H$, $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $h_n \rightarrow h$ in H .

while $\langle u(t), h_n \rangle$ is conti. and $\langle u(t),$

$h_n \rangle \xrightarrow{u} \langle u(t), h \rangle$ since $\sup_t \|u(t)\|_H < \infty$.

So: $\langle u(t), h \rangle$ is also t -conti. a.s.

Cor. $q \in C^2(H; \mathbb{R})$ in sense of Fréchet

and $q'(u) \in V$. $\forall u \in V$, s.t. $u \mapsto q(u)$

is anti. w.r.t weak topo. Besides,

$\|\dot{q}(u)\|_V \leq n(1 + \|u\|_V)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u(t)) &= \varphi(u_0) + \int_0^t \langle v(s), \dot{\varphi}(u(s)) \rangle ds + \int_0^t \\ &\quad \langle \varphi(u(s)), \lambda(s) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{tr}(\dot{\varphi}''(u(s)) Q(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

where Q is cov. matrix of M , i.e.

$$\langle \langle M, h \rangle, \langle M, g \rangle \rangle_t = \int_0^t \langle Q(s), h, g \rangle ds$$

$$\text{pf: } \varphi(u(t)) = \varphi\left(\sum_k \tilde{u}_k(t) e_k\right) = \tilde{\varphi}(\langle u \rangle, \tilde{a})$$

truncate φ and prove as above.

proof:

i) Uniqueness:

zf u, v are two required sol's.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{set } z_n &= \inf \{ t \in [0, T] : \|u(t)\|_H^2 + \|v(t)\|_H^2 \leq \int_0^t \\ &\quad \|u(s)\|_V^2 + \|v(s)\|_V^2 ds > n \} \uparrow T. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Lem' on $\|u-v\|_H^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u-v\|_H^2 &= \square \stackrel{\text{Assum ii)}}{\leq} \int_0^t \langle u(s) - v(s), (B u(s) - B v(s)) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_0^t \|u(s) - v(s)\|_H^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Take expectation. Since $\int_0^t \langle \square, \square \rangle ds$ is mart.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\|u_{t \wedge z_n} - v_{t \wedge z_n}\|_H^2) \leq \lambda \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(\|u_s - v_s\|_H^2) ds$$

By Brownian motion: $\|U(t \wedge Z_n) - V(t \wedge Z_n)\|_H = 0$ a.s.

2) Existence:

Let $V_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ where $\{e_n\}$ is o.n.b.

LEM² H_n . \exists adapted $u_n \in C([0, T], V_n)$ s.t.

$$\langle u_n(t), e_k \rangle = \langle u_0, e_k \rangle + \int_0^t \langle A u_n(s), e_k \rangle ds + \int_0^t B_k(u_n(s)) dW^k(s), \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

where $B_k(u) = \langle B(u), e_k \rangle$. $W^k(t) = \int_0^t \langle W(s), f_k \rangle ds$ is o.n.b. of W .

Pf: Write the eq. into vector form

$$\tilde{u}_n(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle u_n(t), e_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle u_n(t), e_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{A} \tilde{u}_n(t) dt + \tilde{B} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} satisfy Lip. and linear growth

\Rightarrow Apply basic Thm for SDE.

LEM³ $\sup_n \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 + \int_0^T \|u_n(s)\|_V^2 ds \right) < \infty$.

Proof: Note that \sup_n is outside. So:

there's a little difference comparing to PDE case.

Pf: Apply Itô's on $\langle u_n(t), e_k \rangle^2$ and

sum it up:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 &= \sum_k^{\tilde{n}} [\langle u_0, e_k \rangle^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle Au_n(s), e_k \rangle \langle u_n(s), e_k \rangle ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle u_n(s), e_k \rangle \beta_k(u_n(s)) dW_s^{\tilde{n}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell}^{\tilde{n}} \int_0^t (\beta_k(u_n(s)) \langle \sqrt{\ell} f_{\ell} \rangle)^2 ds] \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_H^2 + \sum_k^{\tilde{n}} a_k + \sum_k^{\tilde{n}} \sum_{\ell}^{\tilde{n}} b_{k,\ell} \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle u_n(s), \beta(u_n(s)) \rangle dW_s^{\tilde{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_k^{\tilde{n}} a_k := 2 \int_0^t \langle Au_n(s), u_n(s) \rangle ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k^{\tilde{n}} \sum_{\ell}^{\tilde{n}} b_{k,\ell} &:= \sum_k^{\tilde{n}} \sum_{\ell}^{\tilde{n}} \int_0^t \langle \beta(u_n(s)) \circ \sqrt{\ell} f_{\ell}, e_k \rangle^2 ds \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell}^{\tilde{n}} \int_0^t \langle \beta(u_n(s)) \circ \sqrt{\ell} f_{\ell} \rangle^2 ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\beta(u_n(s)) \circ \sqrt{\ell} f_{\ell}\|_{L_2(u_n)}^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

By assumption i) and take $\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\|u_n(t)\|_H^2) &\leq \|u_0\|_H^2 - \alpha \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(\|u_n(s)\|_V^2) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(\|u_n(s)\|_H^2) ds + Vt \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_H^2 + VT + \lambda \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(\|u_n(s)\|_H^2) ds \end{aligned}$$

Apply Gronwall's inequality.

$$\mathbb{E}(\|u_n(t)\|_H^2) \leq (\|u_0\|_H^2 + VT) e^{\lambda t}.$$

And $\int_0^T \mathbb{E}(\|u_n(t)\|_V^2) dt \stackrel{\text{Assume i)}}{\leq}$

$$\|u_0\|_H^2 + VT + \lambda \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(\|u_n(t)\|_H^2) dt < \infty.$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 \leq \|u_0\|_H^2 + VT + \sup_t \int_0^t \langle \square, \square u_n \rangle$$

Apply BDH inequality on $\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle \square, \square u_n \rangle \right)$

$$\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_t \|u_n(t)\|_H \left(\int_0^T \|B(u_n(s)) \circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{Young}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 \right) + \frac{C}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|\dots\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right)$$

$$\stackrel{Assum iii)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 \right) + C \mathbb{E} \left(1 + \int_0^T \|u_n\|_V^2 ds \right)$$

$$\text{So: } \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_n(t)\|_H^2 \right) \leq C \text{ (indep of } n)$$

Return to proof of our Thm:

By Lem³, (u_n) sol. seq in Lem² is bad in

$$L^2(\mathcal{N}; C([0, T]; H)) \cap L^2(\mathcal{N}_T, V) \quad (\mathcal{N}_T = \mathcal{N} \times [0, T])$$

With assumpt ii):

(Au_n) is bad in $L^2(\mathcal{N}_T, V^*)$. $(B(u_n) \circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is bad in $L^2(\mathcal{N}_T; L_2(u, H))$.

So: $\exists (n_k)$ seq. $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\mathcal{N}_T, V)$. $Au_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(\mathcal{N}_T, V^*)$. $B(u_n) \circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow f \circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{N}_T; L_2(\square))$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have:

$$\langle u(t), e_k \rangle = \langle u_0, e_k \rangle + \int_0^t \langle g(s), e_k \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle e_k, f \circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle ds$$

Next, we prove $g = A(u)$ and $f \circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}} = B(u) \circ Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We use monotonicity trick as before.

WLOG, let assumpt ii) holds with $\lambda = 0$ (Or

let $\tilde{A} = A - \lambda I$). Then:

$$Q_n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \int_0^T \langle A(u_n(s)) - A(v(s)), u_n(s) - v(s) \rangle \\ + \langle (B(u_n(s)) - B(v(s))) \circ \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})} \mathcal{L} \rangle \leq 0.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since we have subseq convergence from above and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \langle A(u_n(s), u_n(s)) \rangle + \langle (B(u_n(s))) \circ \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})} \mathcal{L} \right) \\ \geq \mathbb{E} \left(\|u_n(T)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right) - \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

from Itô's on $\|u_n(T)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ (u_n is sol. for \square)

$$\Rightarrow n \rightarrow \infty: \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \langle f(s) - A(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \langle (f - B(v(s))) \circ \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})} \mathcal{L} \right) \leq \lim_n Q_n \leq 0.$$

Let $v = u$. we have:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\langle (f - B(u(s))) \circ \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})} \mathcal{L} \right) = 0 \Rightarrow f \circ \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} = B(u) \circ \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\text{Then: } \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \langle f(s) - A(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle \right) \leq 0.$$

As before, with assumpt iv). let $v = u - \theta w$.

$$\text{and then } \theta \rightarrow 0. \Rightarrow f(s) = A(u(s))$$

e.g. \subset stochastic heat eq.)

$$\text{Consider } \partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} u + \theta \partial_x u \partial_t w_t, u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$

$$J_0 = V = H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \subset H = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset (H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}))^*$$

$$u = ik', \quad Au = \frac{i}{2} \partial_{xx} u, \quad \langle Au, u \rangle = -\frac{i}{2} \int (\partial_x u)^2 dx$$

$$B(u)h = \theta \partial_x u \cdot h, \quad \|B(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H, H)}^2 = \theta^2 \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle Au, u \rangle + \|B(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H, H)}^2 = (\theta^2 - 1) \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

Assumpt i) is satisfied $\Leftrightarrow |\theta| < 1$.

For $\theta = \pm 1$, $u(t, x) = u_0(x \pm Wt)$ is a sol.

if $u_0 \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, proved by Itô's.

Remark: Here the sol. will inherit regularity of u_0 . There's no regularization effect by noise in SDE.

For $\theta > 1$, it corresponds to a backward heat

$$u_t: \partial_t u = -k \partial_{xx} u \quad (\text{ill-posed})$$

② Compactness method:

Assumptions:

Let $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ and $B: V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(U, H)$ satisfy

i) (coercive) $\exists \alpha > 0, \lambda, \nu$ s.t. $\forall u \in V$,

$$2 \langle Au, u \rangle + \|B(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(U, H)}^2 \leq -\alpha \|u\|_V^2 + \lambda \|u\|_H^2 + \nu$$

ii) (linear growth) $\exists M > 0$ s.t. $\forall u \in V$

$$\|A(u)\|_{V^*} \leq M(1 + \|u\|_V)$$

iii) (sublinear growth) $\exists M, \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$\|B(u)\| \leq M(1 + \|u\|^\delta)$$

iv) $V \hookrightarrow M$

v) $u \mapsto A(u) : \sigma \in V, V^* \cap M \rightarrow \sigma \in V^*, V$ conti.

$u \mapsto B(u) : \sigma \in V, V^* \cap M \rightarrow L_2(u, M)$ conti.

Remark: i) Recall Assumpt i) (now imply:

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_n(t)\|_M^2 + \int_0^T \|u_n(s)\|_V^2 ds \right) < \infty.$$

ii) $M^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^2$ is an example for iv).

Def: p.m. \mathbb{P} on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is sol. for mart. problem associated with SPDE (*):

$$du(t) = A(u(t))dt + B(u(t))dW_t, \quad u(0) = u_0$$

if i) $\mathbb{P}(u(0) = u_0) = 1$.

ii) $M_t = u(t) - u(0) - \int_0^t A(u(s))ds$ is conti.

M -valued \mathbb{P} -mart. with

$$\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t B(u(s)) \circ Q \circ B(u(s))^* ds.$$

Prop: Equi. def of ii) is: $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ o.n.b. of

M . $\forall \varphi \in (\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d)$. we have $M_t^{\varphi, \mathbb{P}}$ is

conti. \mathbb{R}^d -valued mart. where

$$M_t^{i,\varphi} := \varphi(\langle u(t), e_i \rangle_H) - \varphi(\langle u_0, e_i \rangle) - \left(\int_0^t \varphi'(\langle u(s), e_i \rangle) \langle A(u(s)), e_i \rangle ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \varphi''(\langle u(s), e_i \rangle) \langle (B \circ Q \circ B^*)(u(s)) e_i, e_i \rangle ds \right).$$

Thm. Under assumption i) - v) above. $\Rightarrow \exists$ sol. \mathbb{P} to mart. problem for SPDE (*).

Pf. As before, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is o.n.b. of H .

$V_n = \text{span} \{ e_1, \dots, e_n \}$. $\Pi_n : H \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} V_n$. Note

$V_n \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ so existence of sol. u_n for

$\Pi_n(*) \Rightarrow$ existence of \mathbb{P}_n solve mart.

problem w.r.t. $\Pi_n(*)$. i.e. $\mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{L}(u_n(t))$

(0)_n $\text{supp}(\mathbb{P}_n) \subset C([0, T]; V_n)$

(i)_n $\mathbb{P}_n(u(0) = \Pi_n u_0) = 1$

(ii)_n $\forall \varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and Φ_s continuous, bounded and \mathcal{F}_s -measurable

$$\mathbb{E}_n \left[(M_t^{i,\varphi} - M_s^{i,\varphi}) \Phi_s \right] = 0.$$

Lemma. $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}$ is tight on $\mathcal{L} = \{ C([0, T], \sigma(V^*, V)) \cap L^2([0, T]; V) \}$ w.r.t. topo's

$\mathcal{Z}_1 = \sigma(L^2([0, T], V), L^2([0, T], V))$

$\mathcal{Z}_2 =$ uniform topo. on $C([0, T], \sigma(V^*, \cdot))$

$\mathcal{Z}_3 =$ strong topo. on $L^2([0, T], H)$

$\mathcal{Z}_3 =$ strong topo. on $L^2([0, T], H)$

Note Lemma implies $\exists (\mathbb{P}_{n_k})$. etc.

$\mathbb{P}_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} \mathbb{P}$ on $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{Z}_1 \vee \mathcal{Z}_2 \vee \mathcal{Z}_3)$

$J_0 = \mathbb{P}_n \circ \pi_0^{-1} = \delta \pi_n u_0 \xrightarrow{w} f_{u_0}$. i.e. \mathbb{P} will satisfy condition i) of Def.

As for ii): Note $w \in \mathcal{N} \mapsto (m_t^{i,\ell}(w) - m_s^{i,\ell}(w)) \varphi_s$ is conti. from Assumpt V)

And from $\varphi \in C_B^2$ and Assumpt ii), iii).

intermediate value thm. with Hölder's:

$$|m_t^{i,\ell} - m_s^{i,\ell}| \leq C_{i,\ell,\ell,i,T} \left(1 + \int_0^T \|u(s)\|_V^2 ds \right)^{1-\delta}$$

So $\sup_n \mathbb{E}_n \left(|m_t^{i,\ell} - m_s^{i,\ell}|^{1/(1-\delta)} \right) < \infty$ by Markov i).

$\Rightarrow \exists 1/\epsilon > p > 1$ st. $(m_t^{i,\ell} - m_s^{i,\ell}) \varphi_s$ is u.i.

$$J_0 = \lim_n \mathbb{E}_n \left((m_t^{i,\ell} - m_s^{i,\ell}) \varphi_s \right) = \mathbb{E}(\square) = 0.$$

Pf for Lem⁴:

1) For $Z_1: K_1 = \{u \in L^2([0,T], V) \mid \int_0^T \|u(s)\|_V^2 ds \leq K\}$

is weakly opt in $(C([0,T])^*, L^2) = \sigma(L^2, L^2)$

$$\text{and } \sup_n \mathbb{P}_n(K_1^c) \leq \frac{1}{K} \sup_n \mathbb{E}_n \left(\int_0^T \|u(s)\|_V^2 ds \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

2) For $Z_2: K_2 = \{u \in C([0,T], V^*) \mid \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|u(s)\|_{V^*} \leq k, \int_0^T \|u(s) - u(t)\|_{V^*}^2 / |t-s|^\beta ds \leq k\}$ is opt by

Ascoli: Thm. for some $\beta > 0$

Ascoli: Thm. for some $\beta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}_n(K_2^c) \leq \mathbb{P}_n \left(\sup \|u\|_{V^*} \geq k \right) + \mathbb{P}_n \left(\sup \frac{\|u(s) - u(t)\|_{V^*}}{|t-s|^\beta} \geq k \right)$$

Note for $\forall \|h\|_V \leq 1$.

$$|\langle u(t), h \rangle - \langle u(s), h \rangle| \stackrel{\text{Assum ii)}}{\leq}$$

$$M(|t-s| + |t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_s^t \|u(r)\|_V dr) + \left| \int_s^t \langle h, \tilde{B}(u(r)) \wedge \tilde{W}(r) \rangle \right|$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{E}_n \left(\sup_{r \in [s, t]} \left| \int_s^r \langle h, \tilde{B}(u(r)) \wedge \tilde{W}(r) \rangle \right|^p \right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{BDH}}{\leq} C_p \mathbb{E}_n \left(\left(\int_s^t \|B(u(r))\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \|W(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Assum iii)}}{\leq} C_p \mathbb{E}_n \left((|t-s| + \int_s^t \|u(r)\|_V^2 dr)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} C_{p,m} \left(|t-s|^{p/2} + \left(\sup_n \mathbb{E}_n \left(\int_s^t \|u\|_V^2 \right) \right)^{p/2} \right)$$

$$\leq C(|t-s|)^{\tilde{\delta}} \cdot (|t-s|)^{\frac{p}{2}\delta}$$

\Rightarrow We choose $\beta = \tilde{\delta} \wedge \frac{1}{2}$. So: we have

$$\|u(t) - u(s)\|_{V^*} \leq C(|t-s|)^{\beta}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_n \left(\sup_{\square} \frac{\|u(s) - u(t)\|}{\delta} \geq k \right) \leq C/k \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n \left(k \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\sup \|u\| \right) / k + C/k \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

ii) For z_3 :

LEM. (u_n) bdd in $L^2([0, T], V) \cap L^\infty([0, T], H)$

and equicontinuous $([0, T], V^*)$. s.t. $u_n(0)$

$\rightarrow u_0$ in H . Then: \exists strongly conver-

gent subseq in $L^2([0, T], H)$

Pf: Recall $\|u\|_H \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_V + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{V^*}$. $\forall u \in V$

And by Ascoli Thm. \exists subseq (n_k)
 $\rightarrow u \in C([0, T], V^*)$, so u is in $L^2(V^*)$.
With Fatou's $\Rightarrow u \in L^2([0, T], V)$.

$$J_0 = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|u_n(t) - u(t)\|_H^2 dt$$

$$\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \varepsilon \| \square \|_V^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \| \square \|_{V^*}^2 dt$$

$$\leq \varepsilon T \sup_t \|u_n(t) - u(t)\|_V^2 + 0 \sim \varepsilon.$$